

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19551/2025

Subhash Chand Bindal S/o Shri Mahesh Chand Bindal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Gulab Bagh, Karuali, District Karauli - 322241. Presently Working As Lecturer (Biology) In Devnarayan Govt. Boys Residential School, Gudla (Karauli)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Social Justice And Empowerment, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
2.

Director, Department Of Social Justice And Empowerment, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
3.

Additional Director, Department Of Social Justice And Empowerment (Rreis), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
4.

Dy. Director, Department Of Social Justice And Empowerment (Rreis), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
5.

Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Vijay Pathak
Mr. Chitransh Trivedi

For Respondent(s)

:

Mr. Prateek Saxena for Mr. Kapil
Prakash Mathur, AAG
Mr. Vishal Kumar for Manoj Sharma,
AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

11/12/2025

1.

Mr. Prateek Saxena on behalf of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG accepts notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, whereas Vishal Kumar on behalf of Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, AAG accepts notice for respondents.

2. Office is directed to reflect the name of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG and Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, AAG as counsel for respondents.
3. Instant writ petition is preferred by petitioner with following prayer:

“It is, therefore, prayed that this writ petition may kindly be accepted and allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to repatriate the petitioner back to his parent department and allow him to continue there with all consequential benefits.”
4. Learned counsel for petitioner while referring and relying upon **judgment dated 27.03.2025** in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.6524/2025** titled as **Vijendra Kumar Sharma Vs. The Principal Secretary and Ors.** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon’ble Court. He further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.
5. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for petitioner and also material placed on record, the writ petition is disposed of in light of **Vijendra Kumar Sharma (supra)** passed by this Court.
6. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of

representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

7. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended.
8. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J