

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16308/2025

Mustak S/o Kanwa @ Fajru, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Akata, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Distt. Deeg)

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16379/2025

Vishal S/o Banarasi, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Bas Karmuka, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16380/2025

Mursalim S/o Dhammu, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Korali Police Station Sikari, District Deeg (Raj.) (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Chauhan Mr. Anoop Kumar for Mr. Ankit Khandelwal Mr. Sanjay Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA
Order

25/02/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed by the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with F.I.R. No.589/2025 registered at Police Station Kaman, District Deeg, for the

offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111 & 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section(s) 66D and 67A of I.T. Act.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that petitioners have no criminal antecedent, they are in custody since 21.11.2025 and no recovery is required to be made from them. He also submits that charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners into custody, therefore, they may be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that petitioners are involved in serious offences involving cyber fraud activities. He further submits that one complaint is registered each against two petitioners namely, namely Mustak S/o Kanwa @ Fajru, and Vishal S/o Banarasi, on National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (N.C.R.P.).

4. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed, petitioners have no criminal antecedents and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on the merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail applications are **allowed** and the accused-petitioners, namely (1) **Mustak S/o Kanwa @ Fajru** (2) **Vishal S/o Banarasi and** (3) **Mursalim S/o Dhammu**, are ordered

to be released on bail, provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the following conditions:-

(i) The accused-petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.

(ii) The accused-petitioners shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.

(iii) The accused-petitioners shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial Court or as and when they are asked to appear before the Trial Court.

6. The accused-petitioners are directed to mark their presence on 12th day of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned Police Station. The SHO of the concerned Police Station shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioners to the Trial Court on the same day of every month.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move an application against the petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J