

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No.8166/2019

Pooja Sharma D/o Shri Banwari Lal Sharma, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Patan Khurd, Police Station Tapukada, District Alwar (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Superintendent Of Police, Grp, Ajmer (Raj.)
3. Station House Officer, Police Station Grp, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. Permeshwar Pilaniya S/o Shri Bhawar Lal Pilaniya, R/o D-3, Navlakha Apartment, Bharatmata Path, C-Scheme, Jaipur City, Jaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ram Prasad for Mr. Prahlad Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Choudhary, PP Mr. Ravindra Singh Shekhawat

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

12/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions on behalf of the petitioner.
2. The contents of the instant criminal misc. petition indicate that this petition has been filed for quashing of the proceedings of Criminal Case No.6197/2019 pending before the Court of Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (Railway), Metropolitan City, Jaipur arising out of FIR No.239/2018 registered with Police Station Jaipur, District GRP, Ajmer for the offences under Sections 166-A and 120-B IPC.

2. The contents of the criminal misc. petition indicate that the petitioner has been falsely booked in this case, when infact he has not committed any offence whatsoever, hence, under these circumstances, interference of this Court is warranted.
3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the respondents opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on the record.
5. It is the settled proposition of law that if the contents of the F.I.R. reveals commission of a cognizable offence, then the correctness of the allegations cannot be adjudicated by this Court by invoking its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Hence, under these circumstances, this Court is not inclined to entertain the instant petition.
6. Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to take all the defence and grounds which have been taken in the instant petition before the Trial Court at the appropriate stage of the trial.
7. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J