




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16189/2025
URN: CRLMB / 31121U / 2025

Ravi Kumar Jaiswal S/o Late Chand Jaiswal, Proprietor M/s C.I
Scrap Tredars, Regis. Office 70/25/5, Tagore Road, Prayagraj,
Industrial Area, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Swadheen Singh for Mr. Ajatshatru Mina
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Onkar Singh Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Rahul Sharma for Mr. Rajneesh Gupta for the complainant.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

16/07/2026

1. Heard counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.
2. By means of filing instant Criminal Misc. Bail Application under Section 482 of BNSS 2023, petitioner seeks grant of pre-arrest bail in connection with FIR No. 146/2025, registered at Police Station Bhiwadi, District Bhiwadi for the offences under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 379 and 120-B of IPC.
3. In the FIR, there are allegations against the petitioner that he entered into an agreement to sale with the complainant to purchase scrap and machineries against total consideration of Rs.13,21,00,000/- (Rs. Thirteen crores and twenty one lacs), but later on, instead of making payment of entire consideration in one



go, request to pay consideration in installments but then, with the help of culprits and miscreants, took away the scrap material and machineries from the premise of complainant forcefully. There are further allegations of manipulating and forging of E-way bills through which he has sold the materials in the State of Punjab and Gujarat, causing serious loss to the complainant.

4. The Sessions Court while considering the pre-arrest bail application has clearly observed in the order impugned that as per investigation, *prima facie* involvement of petitioner in the alleged offences is made out against him and petitioner is not cooperating with the investigation.

5. Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Jai Prakash Singh v. State of Bihar & Ors. [(2012) 4 SCC 379]**, while discussing the parameters of grant of anticipatory bail opined that such bail can only be granted in exceptional circumstances where the Court is of the view that applicant has falsely been implicated absolutely and would not misuse his/her liberty, which has further been reiterated by Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **State of Madhya Pradesh v. Pradeep Sharma [AIR 2014 SC 626]**. Reference of para no. 19 is being given hereunder :-

"19. Parameters for grant of anticipatory bail in a serious offence are required to be satisfied and further while granting such relief, the court must record the reasons therefor. Anticipatory bail can be granted only in exceptional circumstances where the court is prima facie of the view that the applicant has falsely been enroped in the crime and would not misuse his liberty."

6. In the present case, *prima facie* petitioner has failed to establish that he has falsely been implicated. Further, it is not a case of exceptional circumstances nor it can be said that no



custodial investigation is required. FIR is under investigation, hence, at this stage, no case for grant of pre-arrest bail is made out in favour of he petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the bail application, filed under Section 482 BNSS, is hereby dismissed.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J