



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15513/2025

1. Navdurga Vahan Fitness Center, Opposite Goyal Resort, Jaipur Road, Churu (Raj.) Through Its Partner Yogendra Kumar Soni C/o Lat Sahab , Aged 53 Years, R/o- E-464, Murlipura Scheme, Murlipura, Jaipur Rajasthan-302039.
2. Nandan Fitness Testing Center, Nasirabad, Ajmer, Khasra No. 762/1401, Village-Barapathar, Tehsil-Nasirabad, Distt. Ajmer Thgrough Its Partner Kirti Agarwal C/o Lovnish Agarwal, 18, Gali No. 9, New Sanganer Road, Devi Nagar, Jaipur (Raj). 302019

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi. .
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025

Shanti Vehicle Fitness Testing Center, Jaipur Rajasthan Through Its Partner Lovnish Agarwal S/o Hari Kishan Agarwal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Gali Number-9, Devi Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department



Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14075/2025

1. Kota Vehicle Fitness Center, Plot No. E-516 (A And B), Road No. 7, Ipla, Kota Through Proprietor Mrs. Kirti Agarwal W/o Sh. Lovnish Agarwal, Aged 30 Years, R/o Bisaytiyon Ka Mohalla, Bagru Rawan, Bagru, District Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. Vatsal Enterprises, Near Rto Office, N.h. 8, Sahapura, District Jaipur Through Proprietor Lalit Kumar Gupta S/o Sh. Madan Lal Gupta, Aged 40 Years, R/o 15, Vidhyak Nagar, Behind Jyoti Nagar Police Station, Lal Kothi, Jaipur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14178/2025

1. Shahpura Vehicle Fitness Center, E-1, Riico Industrial Area, Delhi Road, Shahpura, Jaipur (Raj.) Through Its Proprietor Yogendra Kumar Soni S/o Late Sh. Lat Sahab Soni, Aged 51 Years, R/o E-464, Murlipura Scheme, Jaipur
2. G.y. Fitness Center, Plot No. F-148, 149, Riico Industrial Area, Jhunjhunu Through Its Proprietor Yogendra Kumar Soni S/o Late Sh. Lat Sahab Soni, Aged 51 Years, R/o E-464, Murlipura Scheme, Jaipur.
3. Sikar Vehicle Fitness Centre, Near Kanha Hotel, Jaipur Jhunjhunu Bypass Road, Sikar (Raj.) Through Partner Yogendra Kumar Soni S/o Late Sh. Lat Sahab Soni, Aged 51 Years, R/o E-464, Murlipura Scheme, Jaipur.

-----Petitioners



1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14603/2025

1. Tirupati Associates, Village Morija, Chomu, District Jaipur Through Its Partner Richhpal Singh S/o Ratan Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Nangal Kalan, Tateda Mod, Via Govindgarh, Tehsil Chomu, District Jaipur
2. Tirupati Associates, Village Daulatpura, Jaipur Delhi Highway, Amer, District Jaipur Through Its Partner Richhpal Singh S/o Ratan Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Nanga Kalan, Tateda Mod, Via Govindgarh, Tehsil Chomu, District Jaipur

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Transport, Jaipur
3. Commissioner, And Registration Authority (Ats), Transport And Road Safety Department, Rajasthan, Parivahan Bhawan, Sahkar Marg, Jaipur
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Rajasthan, Jaipur

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14715/2025

1. Nokha Vehicle Fitness Centre, Near Sai Mandir, Nagaur Road, Nokha, Bikaner (Raj.) Through Its Authorized Signatory Firm Kamlesh Kumar Ola S/o Sultan Singh Ola, Aged 50 Years R/o- Dinarpura, Sikar (Raj.)-332024.



2. Meel Motors, Sujangarh, Churu (Raj.) Through Its Authorized Signatory Firs Kamlesh Kumar Ola S/o Sultan Singh Ola, Aged 50 Years R/o- Dinarpura, Sikar (Raj.)-332024.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Joint Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14727/2025

M/s Hindustan Automobiles, Piprali Road, Gram-Dhabaipura, Sikar (Raj). Through Its Partner Pawan Kumar S/o Suraj Mal Gurjar, Age-38 Years, R/o Mohini House, Ward No. 24, Churu (Raj)-331001

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Joint Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15148/2025

Matasya Fitness Center, 1148-1447/3178, 1448, Village Tuleda, Opp. Sadar Police Station, District Alwar Through Proprietor Sarvesh Kumar Saini S/o. Sh. Ganga Lehri Saini, Aged 40 Years, R/o. Ramnagar Colony, Near Bus Stand, Rajgarh, Alwar (Raj.)

-----Petitioner



1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary. Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15149/2025

Alwar Automobiles Fitness Center, Khasra No. 1556, In Front Police Station Sadar Bhiwadi Road, Tulera Alwar Through Proprietor Aryan Zubair S/o Zubair Khan, Aged 29 Years, R/o 145, Shanti Kunj, Alwar (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary. Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15150/2025

M And D Automobile Fitness Center, E-36-I, Riico Industrial Area, Khushkhera, Bhiwadi, District Alwar Proprietor M.d. Sharma S/o Sh. Naryan Sharma, Aged 52 Years, R/o E-36-I, Riico Industrial Area, Khushkhera, Bhiwadi District Alwar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary. Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



3. Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17898/2025

Arihant Vehicle Fitness Center, Khasra No. 154/1, Village Bhandana, Tehsil Dausa, Rajasthan Through Proprietor Dilip Kumar Jain S/o Sh. Tara Chand Jain, Behind Oriental Bank, Gautam Marg, Dausa (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Government Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19074/2025

Infinity Fitness Centre, N.h.-08, Village-Molahera, Kotputli (Raj.) Through Its Partner Krishan Kumar Jangal S/o Rameshwar Prasad, Aged 30 Years, R/o- Village-Molahera, Kotputli, Jaipur, Distt. Jaipur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19281/2025

1. Jodhpur Parivahan Fitness Center, 475/1, Junawo Ki Dhani, Dhinana Ki Dhani, Pal Bye Pass, Jodhpur (Raj.) Through Its Partner Ram Chandra Firoda S/o Sukh Dev Ram Firoda, Aged 45 Years, R/o-Firoda Bas, Nagaur, (Raj.)-341001.
2. A And L Company, Karmoda, Sawaimadhpur (Raj.) Through Its Partner Lovelesh Kumar C/o Modu Lal, Age-47 Years, R/o-Villa No. A-24, Diddha Aangan, Before Toll Plaza, Ajmer Road, Jaipur (Raj.)-302006
3. M.k. Fitness Centre, Khasra No. 715, Opposite Marudhar Dharam, Kanta, Chhapar Road, Sujangarh (Raj.) Through Its Partner Rajesh Kumar Khileri S/o Asha Ram, Age-47 Years, Ward No. 38, Near Ram Mandir, Pragati Nagar, Sujangarh, Churu (Raj.)
4. Shri Bikaner Fitness Center, Chak -1B, Halka Chakgarbi, Ganganagar-Jaipur Bye Pass Road, Bichwal, Bikaner Through Its Partner Hemant Sharma S/o Satyanarayan Sharma, Age-42 Year, Anathalya Ke Picche, Vivek Nagar, Bikaner (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19982/2025

Shri Fitness Centre, 1 Bsm, Patwar Halka, Cakgrabhi, Bikaner (Raj.) Through Its Proprietor Vijay Laxmi Purohit W/o Gulab Chand, Aged 55 Years, R/o- A-96, Samta Nagar, Bikaner (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of



Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.

2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1246/2026

1. Dholpur Fitness Center, 155, Nihal Ganj, Ward No. 27, Dholpur (Raj.) Through Its Partner Ajay Kumar Bansal S/o Satish Chand Bansal, Aged 44 Years, R/o- 34, Near Post Office, Manihar Gali, Maniyan, Dhaulpur (Raj.)-328024.
2. Shree Kamdhenu Fitness Center, New 80 Feet Road, Near Rto Office, Sikaar Bera, Jodhpur (Raj.) Through Its Partner Rajesh Singh Tak S/o Jalam Singh, Aged 48 Years, R/o-20, Sector B, New Parihar Nagar, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur (Raj.)-342007
3. Meera Fitness Testing Center, Narpal Ki Kheri, Aaraji No. 744, Ganeshpura, Chittorgarh (Raj.) Through Its Partner Dilip Mali S/o Kailash Chandra Mali, Aged-32 Years, R/o-Hazareshwar Mandir Ke Samne Pavta Gate, Chittaurgarh (Raj.)-312001
4. Aravali Fitness Testing Center, N.h. 79, Near Narpal Ki Kheri Bridge, Ganeshpura, Chittorgarh (Raj.) Through Its Partner Sachin Jain S/o Chand Mal Bharaktiya, Aged-30 Years, R/o-67-68, Sector No. 1, Gandhi Nagar, Chittaurgarh (Raj.)-312001

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Secretary, Department Of Transport And Highway, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Transport, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Transport Commissioner And Registration Authority (Ats), Department Of Transport And Road Safety, Jaipur.
4. Additional Transport Commissioner (Rules), Department Of Transport, Jaipur.



----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. R. B. Mathur, Sr.Adv.,<br>Mr. Akhil Simlote,<br>Mr. Dikshant Jain,<br>Mr. Satish Kumar Khandelwal                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Ms. Sumati Bishnoi,<br>Mr. Naval Kishore Saini,<br>Mr. Bharat Vyas, Sr.Adv./ASG with<br>Mr. Rakesh Choudhary,<br>Mr. V. P. Mathur,<br>Mr. S. S. Naruka, AAG with<br>Mr. Sachin Singh Rathore,<br>Mr. Devesh Yadav,<br>Ms. Nandini Chaturvedi on behalf of<br>Mr. Prashant Chaturvedi,<br>Mr. Rajat Meena |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA****Order****19/05/2026**

1. Since the issue involved in the present writ petition and the connected matters are similar or common, thus, with the consent of the parties, this batch of writ petitions is being heard analogously and being disposed by this instant common order.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Letter of Authority issued in favour of the present petitioners have come to an end, further the issue involved in the present matter is squarely covered by the order dated 07.01.2026 passed in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.1453/2025, which was subsequently reviewed by the Division Bench of this Court on 15.04.2026, which has attained finality. He further submits that, in an identical matter, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.6760/2026 directed the respective parties to file an appropriate representation before the respondent authorities



within a period of three working days, and that adjudication thereof shall be made in the light of the judgment passed by the Division Bench in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 1453/2025, dated 07.01.2026, which was subsequently reviewed vide order dated 15.04.2026 in D.B. Review Petition (Writ) No.131/2026, he therefore prays that all the instant writ petitions may kindly be decided on the same lines.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners (Except Mr. Akhil Simlote, learned counsel for the petitioner in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025) do not dispute the order dated 07.01.2026 passed in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 1453/2025 and the order dated 15.04.2026 passed in D.B. Review Petition (Writ) No.131/2026, and their binding effect and applicability to the present cases, therefore, they principally agree that the instant batch of writ petitions may kindly be decided on the same lines.

4. However, Mr. Akhil Simlote, learned counsel for the petitioner in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025, submits that the Letter of Authority of the petitioner was valid till 31.03.2025, and the petitioner is entitled for the renewal of the same for an additional period of five years in view of Rule 64 of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989. Therefore, the aforesaid judgment of the Division Bench of this Court, as well as the review thereof, are not squarely applicable to the petitioner's case, and he prays that case of the petitioner may kindly not be relegated along with the connected matters.

5. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the respondents in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025 submits that the issue of renewal of license cannot be asked for as a matter of right and that the ratio



of the aforesaid judgments passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court, is squarely applicable to the case of the petitioner in SBCWP No. 13265/2025 as well. Therefore, there is no merit in the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, and the apprehension of the petitioner is unfounded.

6. Heard and considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Before proceeding further, it would be apt to reproduce the relevant portion of the order dated 07.01.2026 passed in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 1453/2025 and the order dated 15.04.2026 passed in D.B. Review Petition (Writ) No.131/2026, respectively, as under:

**Original Order dated 07.01.2026:**

*"(A) The persons possessing a valid LOA under the "FIJA-2018" Scheme shall be permitted to operate their Fitness Testing Stations until at least one Automated Testing Station is established in the concerned District. However, no fresh extension of LOAs under the "FIJA-2018" Scheme shall be granted, even if this results in vehicle owners being required to visit another District for obtaining a fitness certificate.*

*(B) The applications already received for establishment of ATS, in respect of which 38 PRCs have been issued, shall be processed expeditiously and preferably within a period of 60 days from today.*

*(C) Upon completion of all requisite formalities by an applicant for establishment of an ATS and submission of the application for Registration Certificate (RC) on the NSW Portal, the competent Authority shall grant the necessary permission within a period of 30 days.*

*(D) The RTO and DTO authorities, who do not possess the necessary equipment to issue fitness certificates in conformity with the amended Rules, shall not issue fitness certificates.*

*(E) The Union of India had originally prescribed 01.04.2025 as the deadline for implementation of the scheme of issuance of Certificates of Fitness through Automated Testing Stations (ATS) in the State of Rajasthan. However, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellants regarding operational and infrastructural constraints, this Court finds it appropriate to direct that the said scheme shall be strictly and mandatorily made fully operational with effect from 15.04.2026. This Court further clarifies that from the said date, no Certificate of Fitness shall be issued in the State of Rajasthan except through*



an Automated Testing Station (ATS), in terms of Rule 62(1)(b) of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989, read with Office Order dated 02.07.2025, notwithstanding the fact that such facilities may be available only at limited locations, and such facilities have to be availed from the nearest operational ATS.

7. The requirement regarding security deposit of Rs.20,00,000/- as upheld by the learned Single Judge, is also affirmed.

8. The applications received for establishment of ATS prior to 31.07.2025 shall be decided by the competent authority on or before 31.01.2026.

9. The appeals and all pending applications stand disposed of accordingly.

**Review Order dated 15.04.2026:**

"5. For the reasons mentioned in the review petition, the same is allowed. The directions issued in Para No.6(A), (B) and (E) of the order dated 07.01.2026 are modified as under:-

(A) The directions issued in Para (A) are modified to the extent that the FIJA-2018 scheme be permitted to operate in respect of the formula proposed by the petitioner-department for doing the fitness of the following vehicles:-

**I. Vehicles which are exclusively required to get fitness by the ATS system-**

- Heavy transport vehicles
- Contract carriage passenger vehicles having capacity of above 13 seats • Big trucks
- Dumper
- Goods Vehicles having more than 12000 kg. GVW capacity.

**II. Vehicles can be tested by the valid fitness centers established under FIZA-2018 scheme-**

- All kind of taxi passenger vehicles (four wheelers)
- Buses / contract carriage passenger vehicles having sitting capacity up to 13 passengers
- Goods Vehicles having capacity of up to 12000 kg. GVW e.g. pick-up, Tata 407, 409 etc. utility vehicles.

**III. Fitness of the following can be done by the office of the RTO/DTO-**

- Commercial tractor / Crane
- Construction equipment.
- All kind of three-wheeler vehicles including passenger or loading taxi
- Commercial two wheelers."

(B) The directions issued in Para No.(B) are modified to the extent that the time duration of 60 days is extended up to 15.06.2026.

(E) The directions issued in Para No.(E) of the order dated 07.01.2026 are modified to the extent that the scheme shall continue to operate by the time extended up to 15.06.2026.

6. The rest of the directions issued in the order dated 07.01.2026 shall remain intact as it is.

7. Wherever ATS facilities or the FIJA-2018 scheme are available, the same shall be strictly applied."



9. That in view of the above orders passed by Division Bench of this Court, the argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025 is *prima facie* unfounded and misplaced. Even otherwise, issue of renewal of Letter of Authority cannot be claimed as a matter of a right and the same is always subject to compliance of certain statutory requirements, further in the present case, initially the petitioner's Letter of Authority was valid from 10.10.2019 to 09.10.2024 and later on his Letter of Authority was renewed from 09.10.2024 to 31.03.2025 vide order dated 10.10.2024, and said order dated 10.10.2024 was accepted in toto and was not challenged upto expiry of the license period i.e. 31.03.2025, and now the petitioner is trying to agitate this issue after fully enjoying the benefits thereof. Thus, the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13265/2025 cannot be accepted.

9. Considering the submissions made hereinabove as well as the above judgments by Division Bench of this Court, this Court directs that appropriate representation be filed by the respective petitioners before the respondent-*Joint Transport Commissioner (Rules), Transport and Road Safety Department (Rajasthan)*, within a period of three working days. The adjudication thereof shall be made in light of the updated position, as enumerated in the review order dated 15.04.2026 and the original order dated 07.01.2026; thereafter a speaking order be passed, within an upper limit of seven days, from the date of filing of the said representation. It is expected that the decision taken in the



instant matter will be made applicable uniformly qua the State of Rajasthan, *vis-a-vis* the akin issues, persons.

10. Accordingly, the present batch of writ petitions are disposed of. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J

Seema/154to155,157to168,170