

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19670/2025

Nakoda Crusher And Engineers

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan and Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Samarth Kumar Jain

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

18/02/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, has relied upon an interim order dated 12.11.2025 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.14965/2025*** titled as ***Anu Yadav versus State of Rajasthan***, and submits that the facts of the said matter are similar to the present case, as in both these matters, inspection was carried out by the respondents on 25.10.2019, and after passage of around six years, without granting any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and without supplying copy of inspection report which forms the sole basis for imposing penalty, an order dated 13.09.2025 has been passed.

Order dated 12.11.2025 passed in ***Anu Yadav (supra)*** reads as under:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an inspection of the stone crusher of the petitioner was done on 25.10.2019 and in relation thereto a detailed reply was submitted by the petitioner. It is stated that in spite of request being

made the inspection report was not supplied by the respondent – Authorities.

In relation to the inspection so made in the month of October, 2019, after lapse of 6 years, the order impugned dated 13.09.2025 was passed imposing penalty upon the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order impugned has been passed after lapse of six years, without granting any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and without supplying the copy of the inspection report, which was the sole basis for imposing penalty, therefore, the writ petition is filed before this court.

While keeping the question with regard to maintainability of the writ petition on the ground of availability of alternate remedy open, Issue notice of the writ petition as well as stay application to the respondents, returnable by six weeks.

In the meantime, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner in pursuance of the order impugned dated 13.09.2025.”

Taking note of the above, issue notice to the respondents, returnable within four weeks.

Notices be sent through registered post in addition to the normal process.

Notices be given *dasti* to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

List the matter along with S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14965/2025.

In the meanwhile, no coercive action be taken against the petitioner in pursuance to order dated 13.09.2025.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J