

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1338/2025
CNR: RJHC021069002025 | URN: CCP / 1430U / 2025

Babu Lal Kumawat Son Of Bhuramal Kumawat, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Shyam Nagar, Panchayat Dudi Aamloda, Viratnagar, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1.

Shri Sudhansh Pant, Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2.

Shri Jitendra Kumar Soni, Collector, Jaipur.
3.

Priyanka Goswami, Collector, District Kotputli-Behror.
4.

Anita Man, Sub-Divisional Officer, Sub-Division, Virat Nagar, District Jaipur.
5.

Shri Suresh Kumar, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Viratanagar, District Jaipur.
6.

Shri Rajendra Sharma, The Secretary And Gram Sewak, Gram Panchayat Dudi Amloda, Panchayat Samiti Viratnagar. District Jaipur.
7.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Hansraj Kuldeep with
Mr. Girjesh Chaturvedi and
Ms. Priyanka Rajpoot

For Respondent(s)

:

Mr. Ashutosh Udawat for
Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

31/08/2026

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 24.07.2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.646/2018, the operative portion of which reads as under:-

"4. This Court is not going into the controversy as to whether there exist any encroachment on the subject land or not, but looking to the fact that several representations have been submitted by the petitioner before the authorities concerned. It is expected from the authorities concerned to look into the matter and pass appropriate orders after affording due opportunity of hearing to all the parties.

5. In view of above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid directions. Stay application as well as all applications (pending, if any) also stand disposed of.

6. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not issued any order/direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in a particular way. They would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law by passing a reasoned and speaking order."

2. Mr. Ashutosh Udawat, Advocate, for Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, learned Additional Advocate General, appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1, 5, 6 and 7 submits that the grievance raised by the petitioner has been duly addressed vide order dated 27.02.2026 (Annexure-R/3) after granting an opportunity of hearing to all the parties and thus, the compliance of the order dated 24.07.2025 has been duly made.

3. While it is a matter of record that the order dated 27.02.2026 has been passed by the Office of the Panchayat Samiti Viratnagar, Kotputli-Behror, however, Mr. Hansraj Kuldeep, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the facts as submitted by the petitioner have not been duly considered while passing the said order and thus, passing of the order dated 27.02.2026 would not amount to compliance being done by the respondents.

4. Considering the directions issued by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.07.2025 and so also the order dated 27.02.2026 passed by the respondent(s), it cannot be said that there exists any non-compliance on the part of the respondents and thus, no case of contempt having been committed by the respondents is made out.

5. However, it is clarified that in case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the order dated 27.02.2026, the petitioner would be at liberty to assail the validity of the same by taking appropriate recourse as available in accordance with law.

6. With the aforesaid view and direction the contempt petition is ***disposed of***.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J