

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Revision Petition No. 2254/2025

Nishant Karnik Alias Nishant Kiran Karnik S/o Shri Kiran Karnik @ Kiran Ganesh Karnik, Aged About 39 Years, R/o B-42, Pancham Duplex Dhabai Ring Road, Soma Talab, Police Station Wadi, District Vadodara, Gujarat Currently Residing At B-12, Moti Nagar-Ii, Tarasali, Police Station Makarpura, District Vadodara, Gujarat.

----Petitioner/accused

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.
2. Kalu Ram S/o Bhola Ram, Aged About 55 Years, Currently Resident At Constable, Police Station Bar, District Beawar Permanent Resident Of Kalyanpura, Amarsar, Jaipur (Rural), Rajasthan.

----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                    |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Harsh Sharma, Advocate         |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Rajendra Singh Shekhawat, P.P. |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI**  
**Order**

**05/05/2026**

Matter has come up on an application bearing No.1/2026 dt.29.04.2026 filed by the petitioner seeking recall of the order dt.16.04.2026 passed by this Court in S.B. Criminal Revision Petition No.2254/2025.

Submissions of learned counsel appearing for the applicant are that the matter was listed on 16.04.2026 before this Court at Item No.83 under the "Orders Category". In this matter, as per the Court's order dt.09.02.2026, notice had been issued to the complainant/respondent and the same was still awaited as on 16.04.2026. Ld. Advocate appeared before this Court on 16.04.2026 and, due to default on his part, he appeared at Item No.82 instead at Item No.83. He requested issuance of a fresh notice to the complainant/respondent. The Court had directed that the case be listed again after four weeks

while awaiting service of notice. However, the cause-list shows that the petitioner's advocate was present when the case was called at Item No.82.

Heard. Perused.

The Id. Advocate himself stated that he was present on that day and argued the case at Item No.82 instead of Item No.83. No adverse order was passed in Item No.83. Therefore, there is no need to recall the order passed in Item No.83.

Considering all the facts and circumstances & the submissions made at bar, this Court is of the opinion that the present application has no merit and the same deserves to be dismissed.

Consequently, the present application stands dismissed.

**(VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI),J**