

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 3rd Bail Application No. 15845/2025

Ramesh Choudhary (Sunda) S/o Rampratap Choudhary, R/o Sunda Ki Dhani, Dhunda, PS- Phulera, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
(At Present Petitioner Is Confined In Subjail Sambhar Lake, Jaipur)

----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ramit Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shriram Dhakar, PP Mr. Mukesh Kumar Saini

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI

Order

23/02/2026

1. The 3rd bail application has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. on behalf of the accused-petitioner, namely **Ramesh Choudhary (Sunda) S/o Rampratap Choudhary**, in connection with FIR No.167/2022 registered at Police Station Mojamabad, District Jaipur Rural for the offence(s) under Section(s) 143, 147, 148, 364, 427, 302, 302/149 & 120B of I.P.C.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the 2nd bail application filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on 04.12.2024. Thereafter, the petitioner has preferred a Special Leave Petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court challenging the aforesaid order, which came to be dismissed on 25.04.2025, with liberty to file a fresh, in the event the trial does

not proceed within a period of six months. Counsel further submits that the stipulated period of six months has since expired, however, the statements of all the prosecution witnesses have not yet been recorded. Counsel further submits that the '*parcha byan*' is also suspicious in nature. Therefore, the present 3rd bail application deserves to be allowed.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the respondent-complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the trial is already at an advanced stage, out of 37 prosecution witnesses, the statements of 36 prosecution witnesses have already been recorded. Only one witness remains, whose statement is not recorded on account of his/her illness. The Trial does not take much time to conclude. Thus, the 3rd bail application filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. deserves to be dismissed.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material made available on record.

5. A progress report was called for from the learned trial Court. The report reveals that in the present case, the prosecution has cited 37 witnesses, out of which 36 have already been examined and their statements have also been recorded. Only one witness remains to be examined. Thus, it is evident that the trial is at an advanced stage and is not likely to take much time to conclude. It is also noteworthy that this Court has dismissed the petitioner's 2nd bail application on merits vide order dated 04.12.2024. The petitioner has not been able to demonstrate any change in

circumstances subsequent to the rejection of the 2nd bail application.

6. Thus, without commenting upon the merits/demerits of the case and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court does not deem it appropriate to grant the benefit of regular bail to the accused-petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the 3rd bail application filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. is dismissed.

(VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI),J

SAURABH /06