

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S. B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1173/2024

Sadatullah Khan S/o Shri Amanatullah Khan, R/o House No. 899, Lodhon Ki Gali, Moti Doongari Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan, And Presently Posted As Assistant, Professor (General Surgery) In SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri T. Ravikant, Principal Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Medical Education (Group-I) Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. State of Rajasthan through Principal Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Medical Education (Group-I) Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

----Respondents/Contemnors

For Petitioner

:

Mr. C.P. Sharma Advocate.

For Respondents

:

Mr. Vigyan Shah Additional Advocate
General with Ms. Tanvisha Pant
Advocate.
Mr. Archit Bohra Additional
Government Counsel with Mr. Rahul
Verma Advocate.
Smt. Gayatri A. Rathore, Principal
Secretary, Medical Education
Department through Video
Conferencing.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

Date of conclusion of arguments	::	25.05.2026
Date on which judgment was reserved	::	25.05.2026
Whether the full judgment or only the operative part is pronounced	::	Full Judgment
Date of pronouncement	::	27.05.2026

1. This contempt petition has been registered by this Court on being referred by the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal, Jaipur (for short 'the Tribunal') vide letter dated 20.11.2024 for further action against this respondents-

contemnors, with a categorical finding that unambiguous order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the Tribunal in Appeal no. 1111/2020 has been deliberately defied by the respondents-contemnors.

2. Notices were issued to the respondents and when despite opportunities, order passed by the Tribunal was not implemented, even the Principal Secretary, Medical Education (Group-I) Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur was directed to remain present before this Court.

3. Only defence put forward by the Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of respondents was that the State Government has challenged order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the Tribunal by way of filing S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17680/2023 before this Court. It has been submitted by learned Additional Advocate General that in case the above writ petition is dismissed by this Court, then reasonable time may be granted to the respondents to make compliance of Tribunal's Order dated 12.04.2023.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties at length, above writ petition filed by the State Government has been dismissed by this Court by judgment delivered today, i.e., 27.05.2026.

5. Under the aforementioned circumstances, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this contempt petition while granting last opportunity of one month to the respondents to make compliance of order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the Tribunal.

6. It is made clear that in case, compliance is not made by the respondents within a period of one month, then the petitioner

would be at liberty to move an application to revive this contempt petition.

7. Contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.
8. Notices stand discharged.
9. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

MANOJ NARWANI