




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1314/2025
CNR: RJHC021021842025 | URN: CCP / 1405U / 2025

1. Gopal S/o- Ramsukh, (Died)
2. Kalyan S/o-Sh. Gopal, Both R/o- Village-Madhosinghpura, District-Sawai Madhopur-Raj. (Since Deceased Through Legal Heirs)
- 2/1. Smt. Mathura Devi W/o- Late. Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 69 Years,
- 2/2. Shankar Lal S/o-Late. Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 64 Years,
- 2/3. Sh. Radhey Syam S/o-Late. Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 51 Years,
- 2/4. Sh. Prabhu Dayal S/o-Late Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 48 Years,
- 2/5. Sh. Hemraj S/o-Late Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 48 Years,
- 2/6. Sh. Jai Prakash S/o-Late. Sh. Kalyan, Aged About 45 Years,
All R/o-Village-Madhosinghpura, District-Sawai Madhopur (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Revenue Department-Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Sh. Kanaram Sirvi, The District Collector-Sawai Madhopur-Raj.
3. Sh. Gourav Kumar Mittal, The Sub Division Officer- Sawai Madhopur
4. Sh. Niru Singh, The Tehsildar- Sawai Madhopur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Hemraj Rodiya Mr. Atul Kumar Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Surya Pratap Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order



13/08/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order dated 24.04.2025 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.689/2006.
2. By the order dated 24.04.2025, the Court issued directions to the respondents to decide the application filed by the petitioners expeditiously as early as possible by passing a reasoned and speaking order.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the application submitted by the petitioners before the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sawaimadhopur has been decided by order dated 12.12.2025, which has been placed on record as Annexure-R-12.
4. In view of the fact that the application has been decided by the concerned authority, in opinion of the Court, the respondents have complied with the directions of this Court.
6. Accordingly this contempt petition is disposed of.
7. Contempt notice/s are discharged.
8. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the order passed by the authority on the application, they may assail the same by availing the appropriate remedy available to them under law.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J