




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20501/2025

Amit Constructions, Address- 709, Pratap Nagar, C.a.d. Circlce, Kota (Rajasthan) Through The Authorized Representative Mr. Kailash Deepchandani S/o Shri Somchand Deepchandani Aged About 57 Years, R/o 120-B, R.k. Puram, Anandpura, Futa Talab, Pip, Kota, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Chief Engineer (Road), Pwd Ajmer, Rajasthan.
2. Additional Chief Engineer, Pwd Zone, Ajmer.
3. T.a. To Additional Chief Engineer, Pwd Zone, Ajmer
4. Chief Accounts Officer, Pwd Zone, Ajmer.
5. Superintend Engineer, Pwd Circle, Ajmer.
6. Executive Engineer, Pwd Dn, Kekri.
7. M/s Mehar Construction Company, Through Its Authorized Officer, Sanawara, Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chitransh Mathur
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

23/02/2026

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer to quash the action in the respondent of not accepting the bid submitted by the petitioner with the remark that the fee has not been received by the given date and time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner got prepared bank guarantee on 15.09.2025 and therefore it cannot be said that he has not deposited the requisite fee.



As per clause 4 of the notice dated 22.08.2025 (Annexure-1) the bidder was to submit the bank guarantee as required by 1.00 P.M. on 16.09.2025.

As per the status updated on 17.09.2025 at 06.00 P.M. the required fee was not submitted by the petitioner, as the petitioner has not submitted the required bank guarantee. Learned counsel for the petitioner has tried to convince this Court by referring the bid document (Annexure-6).

On perusal of the document (Annexure-6) it is also revealed that in the documents submitted as regards the fee there is specific mention but the said document does not find any mention in regard to submitting the bank guarantee by the petitioner.

In view of the specific terms as mentioned in the tender notice (Annexure-1) as regards the requirement of submitting the bank guarantee, the petitioner has failed to make out any case that the petitioner has submitted the bank guarantee on due date.

In view of the above, the Court find no merit in this writ petition.

The writ petition accordingly dismissed.

In view of the order passed in the main petition, the stay application and pending application/s, if any, also stand dismissed.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J