




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15413/2025

Lokesh Kumar S/o Brijmohan, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Alipura, Police Station Sadar Hindaun City, District Karauli (Rajasthan) (Accused Presently Confined In District Jail, Karauli).

----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Asad Ul Haq Nooree Mr. Krishan Kumar Mahar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP Mr. Ram Ratan Gurjar

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR
Order

10/03/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.165/2024 registered at Police Station Sadar Hindaun, District Karauli for offences under Sections 376-D IPC and Sections 66E, 67(a) of IT Act, 2008.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner was about 19 years of age and the victim was also a major at the relevant time. It is again submitted that the prosecution itself has admitted that the family members of the victim had lodged several FIRs against the family members of the petitioner and due to such previous enmity the present FIR has been lodged against the petitioner on false and concocted grounds. It is further submitted that in the present matter the statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded before the learned



trial Court wherein she has admitted that she was in a relationship with the petitioner. It is also admitted by her in the recorded video that she and the petitioner were in a consensual relationship, which clearly indicates that the matter is consensual in nature. Petitioner is behind the bars since 24.08.2025. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Lokesh Kumar S/o Brijmohan**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J