

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6761/2018

Rajendra Kumar Ranka S/o Mulchand Ranka, Aged About 45
Years, R/o Vikaram Choak Ladpura, Kota, Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : None present

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Narendra Singh Dhakar, PP

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

21/04/2026

1. By way of filing the instant petition, a challenge has been led to the impugned order dated 22.04.2017 passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kota in Criminal Case No.274/2013 by which charges have been framed against him under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC.
2. Aggrieved by the aforesaid a revision petition was submitted by the petitioner before the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge No.3, Kota, however, the same was rejected on 31.07.2018 and upheld the order dated 22.04.2017 passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kota. Hence, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition, assailing the validity of the order passed by both the Courts below. As per the grounds taken in the instant petition, the Court below have committed an error in framing the charges against the petitioner, as the petitioner have

not committed any offence and he has been falsely booked in the instant case and the orders have been passed in a casual manner.

3. This Court has looked into the orders passed by the Courts below by which the charges have been framed and been upheld.

4. Considering the above material available on record, *prima facie* case was found against the petitioner, for proceeding against him for the abovestated offences and that is why charges have been framed against him and the revision petition submitted against the aforesaid order has been rightly rejected and the order passed by the Court below has been upheld by the Revisional Court by passing a reasoned speaking order.

5. It is the settled preposition of law, that at the time of framing of charge, only a *prima facie* case is required to be seen and charges can be framed against the accused even on the basis of strong suspicion.

6. In the considered opinion of this Court, any meticulous examination of the evidence of prosecution is not required to be done at this stage and even the defence of the accused is not required to be looked into. This Court finds no error in the orders passed by both the learned Courts below.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition stands rejected. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, shall also stand dismissed.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J