




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 1316/2025

Shyam Lal S/o Shri Mota Ram, Aged About 47 Years, Presently
Residing 7/98, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Appellant

Versus

1. Honble Chief Minister, Government Secretariat,
Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Chief Secretary, Government Secretariat, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel,
Government Secretariat, Government Of Rajasthan,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Secretary, Rajasthan Public Services Commission, Ajmer,
Rajasthan.
5. Shri Naresh Bunkar, At Present Posting Additional
Collector And Additional District Magistrate, Rajsamand,
Rajasthan Through Principal Secretary, Department Of
Personnel Government Secretariat, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
6. Smt. Beena Mahawar, At Present Posting Additional
Collector And Additional District Magistrate (City), Alwar,
Rajasthan Through Principal Secretary, Department Of
Personnel Government Secretariat, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.
7. Smt. Suman Panwar, At Present Posting Additional
Director Disabilities, Jaipur Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Personnel, Government Secretariat,
Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
8. Smt. Anupam Kayal, At Present Posting General Manager
Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited, Jaipur Through Principal
Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government
Secretariat, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
9. Ms. Vandana Khorwal, At Present Posting Deputy
Inspector General, Registration And Stamps (Vigilance),
Ajmer Through Principal Secretary, Department Of
Personnel, Government Secretariat, Government Of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.



10. Shri Ramchandra, At Present Posting Additional Commissioner (Admn.) Excise Department, Jodhpur Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel, Government Secretariat, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Shyam Lal, appellant in person.

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

13/11/2025

1. The appellant, who is present in person, submits that he had filed a writ petition challenging the appointment of respondent Nos.5 to 10 on the ground that the information, which he has received under the Right to Information Act, reflects that in most of their cases, there was no mentioning in the form of the subjects which they had chosen for the main examination in RAS Exam, 2008. The presumption, therefore, needs to be drawn that such persons did not even appear in the examination. He further submits that while in other cases, the optional subjects have been mentioned in the form and some persons have been selected without even participating as their optional subjects are not mentioned, the entire examination is fraudulent. He submits that he had raised these arguments before the learned Single Judge, who has however, termed the petition to be frivolous and imposed a cost of Rs.1,00,000/- on the petitioner.

2. We find that on the basis of the information received under RTI Act, the claim for challenging the appointment is not made



out. More so, admittedly, all respondents' merit numbers have been mentioned and it is not necessary that the entire details of the examination should be handed over by the RPSC to the concerned government authorities.

3. We, at the same time, do not find that the writ petition was wholly frivolous to impose cost of Rs.1,00,000/-, but at the same time, we need not issue notice to the respondents and set aside the cost imposed by the learned Single Judge.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J (SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACTING CJ

SANJAY KUMAWAT/RAJAT/39