

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17689/2025

Anil Kumar Son Of Balveer Singh, Aged About 36 Years, Resident Of Word No. 09, Gaon Bishanpura, Po-Chittausa, District Jhunjhunun, Rajasthan- 333516

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Chief Secretary, Skill Employment And Entrepreneurship Department Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Secretary, Rajaya Krishi Parbandh Sansthan Parisar, Duragapura, Jaipur 302018
3. Chairman, Staff Selection Board, Rajasthan, Rajaya Krishi Parbandh Sansthan Parisar, Duragapura, Jaipur 302018
4. Government Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Skill Employment And Entrepreneurship, Directorate Of Technical Education, W-6, Residency Road, Jodhpur (Rajasthan)- 342001

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr.Ravindra Saini
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vishnu Dutt for Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG Mr. Nalin G. Narain

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

11/12/2025

1. Instant writ petition is preferred with following prayer:
Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus or Certiorari quashing and setting aside the impugned disqualification letter/order dated 09.07.2025 and the impugned final result dated 18.09.2025, to the extent they

reject the petitioner's candidature for the post of Junior Instructor (ELECTRONICS MECHANIC).

Direct the Respondents to consider the petitioner's candidature for appointment to the post of Junior Instructor (ELECTRONICS MECHANIC) under the Ex-Servicemen category.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner while referring and relying upon **judgment dated 30.10.2025 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.15649/2025 titled as Bahnwar Lal Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors.** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court. He further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.
3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for petitioner and also material placed on record, the writ petition may be disposed of in light of **Bahnwar Lal Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors. (supra)**.
4. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **Bahnwar Lal Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors. (supra)**, but in case of deviation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if

petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

5. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J