

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Second Bail Application No.
14346/2025

Jayant @ Deva S/o Sh. Babulal, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Hanu-
manpura, P.S. Sadar Jhunjhunu, District Jhunjhunu (Raj.) (At
Present In J.C. At District Jail Jhunjhunu).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Majhar Hussain with Mr. Sahil Hussain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. M.S. Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

10/12/2025

1. This second bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.38/2025 registered at Police Station Sadar Jhunjhunu, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan for offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 324(4), 326(g), 333, 305(a) & 331(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.
2. The first bail application filed on behalf of the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn by this court vide order dated 11.09.2025. Now, this second bail application has been preferred.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that on account of money dispute, present FIR was lodged. Counsel submits that co-accused namely Sourabh, Praveen Kumar @

Pradeep, Dalip @ Lala and Ajay Kumar Bhuria have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court. Counsel submits that charge-sheet has been filed in this matter. It is submitted that trial will take considerable time in its conclusion. Petitioner is in custody since his date of arrest. Further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for bail made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that petitioner is habitual offender as twelve other cases have been registered against him.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially considering the fact that co-accused namely Sourabh, Praveen Kumar @ Pradeep, Dalip @ Lala and Ajay Kumar Bhuria have been granted benefit of bail by this Court, as also considering the fact that charge-sheet has been filed in this matter and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow this second bail application.

7. This second bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner **Jayant @ Deva S/o Sh. Babulal** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakh only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.2,50,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Fifty Thousand only), each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court

with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station, till conclusion of the trial. It is further directed that petitioner shall share his mobile number (in use) to the trial Court and Investigating Agency and he shall keep his mobile phone in active mode and he shall not switch off his mobile phone intentionally for longer period.

9. Concerned SHO shall enter the attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

10. If any breach of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

12. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J