

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.17830/2022

Meghshyam Mudgal S/o Shri Hariom Mudgal, Aged About 30
Years, R/o Village Punchhari Tehsil-Nagar District Bharatpur
(Rajasthan)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bank Of Baroda, Through Regional Manager H.r.m
Department Bank Of Baroda Kali Bagichi Bharatpur
Region Bharatpur Rajasthan
2. The Assistant General Manager, Bank Of Baroda Zonal
Office, B-23, Tonk Road Shreeji Nagar Vasundhara Colony
Durgapura Jaipur Rajasthan 302018
3. The Branch Manager, Bank Of Baroda Branch Nagar
District Bharatpur Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.R.B. Sharma Ganthola, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR GAUR

Order

02/12/2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was engaged as Class IV Employee on 20.07.2011 and there was no complaint about working of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent-Bank also considered continuance in service of the petitioner for grant of bonus and accordingly, the petitioner was also paid bonus from time to time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner made an application for regularization of his service on 10.11.2022, as he was working from last several years and as

such, the application submitted by the petitioner has resulted into verbal termination order.

Learned counsel submitted that the case of the petitioner was required to be considered for regularization, as per Office memorandum dated 07.10.2022 and only on account of demand of the petitioner to regularize his services, he has been restrained to work from 15.11.2022


Learned counsel submitted that the respondents have not engaged any other person in place of the petitioner and there is a continuous requirement of Class-IV Employee.

Issue notice of the writ petition as well as stay application, returnable on 18.01.2023. Notices be given 'dasti', if prayed.

This Court, as an interim measure, directs that the services of the petitioner will be continued by the respondents, as Class-IV employee, as he was working prior to verbal termination order.

(ASHOK KUMAR GAUR), J

Himanshu Soni/63



सत्यमेव जयते