

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16993/2025

Anjaly Goyal Daughter Of Shri Jugal Kishore Goyal, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Ka Nohra, Azad Market, Jhalawar, District Jhalawar, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Panchayatiraj Rural And Development), Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Additional Commissioner (Joint Secretary Administration (First), Department Of Panchayatiraj Rural And Development), Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. District Collector, Jhalawar, District Jhalawar.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jhalawar.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dharmendra Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

10/11/2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes limited prayer for directing the respondent - authorities to decide her representation regarding grant of appointment in pursuance of the recruitment process held for the post of LDC in the year 2013.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to the judgment dated 23.09.2024 passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court at Principal Seat, Jodhpur in a bunch of writ petitions led by ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.14640/2022; Gurmeet Singh vs. State of Rajasthan & ors.*** While referring

to the documents annexed along with the writ petition, it is stated that , clear recommendation for grant of appointment to the petitioner has been made by the Chief Executive officer, Zila Parishad, Jhalawar vide its communication dated 06.08.2025 (Annexure-9). However, no effective action in pursuance thereof, has been taken by the respondents due to administrative formalities.

3. The limited prayer of the petitioner deserves acceptance.

4. The petitioner is granted liberty to file fresh representation ventilating her grievance as raised in the present writ petition, to the respondents Nos.2 and 4 within a period of ten days from today. On receipt of said representation, respondents Nos.2 and 4 are directed to take appropriate actions upon the said representation submitted by the petitioner and to decide the same within a period of 30 days by passing a reasoned order, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. It is expected that if the petitioner is found eligible for appointment in view of the recommendation made vide communication dated 06.08.2025 (Annexure-9) then, appropriate actions in that regard shall also be taken.

6. With these observations, the present writ petition is disposed of.

7. Stay application as well as all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J