

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1256/2025

IN

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8988/2020

1. Bhanwar Singh Rajput S/o Shri Kailash Singh, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Badh Nanawas, Tehsil-Bamanwas, District Sawai Madhopur (Raj.)
2. Ramraj Gurjar S/o Laxminarayan Gurjar, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village Gurjar Barodha, Tehsil Bamanwas, District Sawai Madhopur (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary Department Of Rural And Panchayatiraj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur
2. Shri Joga Ram, The Principal Secretary, Department Of Rural And Panchayatiraj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur
3. Shri Gaurav Budania, The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sawai Madhopur
4. Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma, Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Amarghad, Panchayat Samiti Gangapurcity, District Sawai Madhopur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sudhir Yadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG assisted by Ms. Ritika Naruka & Mr. Jitendra K. Takar Mr. Ashutosh Udawat with Mr. Prateek Saxena on behalf of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

19/03/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of order dated 30.10.2023 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8988/2020, whereby the writ petition was disposed of in the

light of another judgment of this Court in the case of Sushma Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors. (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.22659/2017 decided on 01.12.2018.

2. In the aforesaid judgment, the Committee was directed to dispose of the representation in terms of guidelines formulated by the concerned SDMC for appointment of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks.

3. After receipt of notices in this contempt petition, reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents, wherein it has been stated that the Committee has considered the candidature of the petitioner and vide order dated 06.11.2025, the representation filed by the petitioner has been rejected.

4. In view of above, there is no case of deliberate and wilful disobedience on part of the respondents, hence, no cause for contempt is made out.

5. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is dismissed.

6. Notices stand discharged.

7. However, mere dismissal of the contempt petition would not take away rights of the petitioner to challenge order dated 06.11.2025 before the appropriate forum of law.

(ANAND SHARMA),J