

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16361/2025

Prem Kumari Mahrana W/o Dr. Deshmukh, Aged About 45 Years,
R/o Vinayak Vihar, Jaipur Jhunjhunu Bye-Pass, Kudli, Sikar (Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj).
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner (Raj).
3. District Education Officer (Secondary), Sikar (Raj).
4. Subhash Chandra, Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Thikariya, District Sikar (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Garssa
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG with Mr. Vishal Kumar & Ms. Pooja Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

14/11/2025

1. Instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with following prayer:-

"i) It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to call for the record, examine the same and quash and set aside the impugned order dated 22.09.2025 Annexure-1) issued by the respondent No.2 and the petitioner be allowed to perform her duties at the present posting place i.e. Smt. Krishna Devi Mohan Lal Kabra Govt. Sr. Sec. School Rewasa (Palsana), District Sikar with all consequential benefits.

ii) Any other appropriate relief, which is deemed just and proper in above mentioned facts and

circumstances, may also be granted to the petitioner alongwith the cost."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner while placing reliance upon order dated 27.02.2025 passed by the Division Bench of this Court at Principal Seat, Jodhpur in **D.B. Spl. Appl. Writ No.332/2025 : Pradeep Kumar Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors.** submits that the husband of the petitioner is posted as Deputy Director in S.K. Medical College & AG Hospital, Sikar and the present petitioner is transferred from Smt. Krishna Devi Mohan Lal Kabra Govt. Sr. Sec. School Rewasa (Palsana), District Sikar to Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Katar Chhoti, District Churu. He also submits that it is duty of the respondents that the petitioner be transferred to the same place or nearby place where her husband is working, who is also a Government servant.
3. Aforesaid contentions were opposed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents and he submits that due to administrative exigency the transfer has been effected but considering the grievance as raised by the petitioner, the petitioner is free to make a representation to the Department, which will consider the representation on the grounds raised by the petitioner and this petition can be disposed at this stage.
4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days

from date of receipt of representation. After the order, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in her representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, she has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

6. In the meanwhile, impugned order dated 22.09.2025 qua the present petitioner shall remain stayed till disposal of the representation, by the respondents.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J