

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18119/2023

Hemant Kumar Agarwal S/o Shri Nand Kishore Agarwal

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Arvind Soni, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Aditi Lodha for Mr. Rahul Lodha

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

19/11/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a short question is involved in the present case as mining lease of the petitioner was determined only on the ground of non-deposition of the penalty amount, which has already been deposited by the petitioner under the Amnesty Scheme, 2002 during the pendency of the revision petition. However, the revisional authority has ignored the said fact that the sole basis for cancellation of the mining lease did not survive at that point of time.

Counsel for the petitioner further submits that as a matter of fact in the similarly situated cases, the revisional authority while taking note of the fact that the penalty amount has already been deposited by the respective lease holder in amnesty scheme, has allowed similar revision petition and lease was restored in favour of the respective lease holder. Therefore, the petitioner has pleaded discriminatory treatment in his case.

Ms. Aditi Lodha, Adv. appears on behalf of the Mr. Rahul Lodha, Adv. seeks adjournment on the ground that Mr. Rahul Lodha Adv. is not available in the town.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents are now going to auction the mining lease of the petitioner.

Looking to the issue involved and urgency shown in the present case, put up on 04.12.2025 at 2:00 P.M.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J