

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17500/2019

Santosh Bai Meena D/o Shri Ramji Lal Meena, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Hingotiya, Post Khandevat, Tehsil Niwai, District Tonk.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner.
3. Secretary, Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Ajmer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Raghu Nandan Sharma
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. M.F. Baig

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

09/02/2026

1. Instant writ petition is filed with following prayer:-

a. Directed to the respondents to consider the case of petitioner for appointment on the post of Lecturer (Political Science) in pursuance of advertisement dated 16.10.2015.

b. Further directed to the respondents to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioner as granted to the other candidates who were given appointment.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner while placing reliance upon **judgment dated 24.01.2025 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3742/2019** titled as **Sunita Dhawan Vs. State of**

Rajasthan & Anr. submits that the controversy raised herein, this writ petition has already been considered by a Coordinate Bench of this Court and same has been decided finally. Accordingly, the writ petition be disposed of.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-RPSC submits that the petitioner be directed to submit a representation in light of judgment and the respondents shall examine it on the basis of judgment, if applicable to the petitioner.

4. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to consider and decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **Sunita Dhawan Vs. State of Rajasthan (supra)**, but in case of deviation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the order to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in her representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, she has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

5. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended to her.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J