

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 4th Bail Application No. 13141/2024

Devendrapal Singh Alias Gattu Singh Alias Sandy S/o Shri Shivsingh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Sanvrad, Tehsil Ladnu, Ps Jaswantgarh, District Nagaur Presently Residing At House No. 73, D-Block Salasar City, Lalchandpura, Niwaroo Road, Jaipur (Presently Confined At Central Jail Ajmer).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chandi Charan Ratnu.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sudesh Kumar Saini, Public Prosecutor.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH

Order

10/11/2025

1. The present 4th bail application has been filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S. The applicant has been arrested in connection with FIR No.204/2015 registered at Police Station Vidyadhar Nagar District-Jaipur for the offence(s) under Sections 302,34 of IPC & Section 3/25 of Arms Act.
2. Counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this matter. Counsel further submits that out of 36 witnesses only 13 witnesses have been examined by the learned Trial Court as yet. Counsel further submits that the eye witness Pradeep Yadav (PW-1), during his cross-examination, categorically stated that he had not seen the applicant at the time of the alleged incident and he had named the applicant only at the

instance of the Investigating Officer. Counsel further submits that the applicant is in custody since 09.08.2018 and conclusion of trial may take long time. Counsel further submits that in all other criminal cases pending against the accused-applicant, he has been released on bail on the ground of delay in concluding the trial.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application and submits that seven other criminal cases are pending against the accused-applicant.

4. Considering the material on record and taking into account the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that out of 36 witnesses only 13 witnesses have been examined by the learned Trial Court as yet and the accused-applicant is in custody for more than seven years and conclusion of trial may take long time and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Applicant be admitted to regular bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(INDERJEET SINGH),J