

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13705/2025

Dilraj S/o Shri Narayan, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Tond Thana
Malarnadungar District Sawaimadhapur.
(At Present In Central Jail, Sawaimadhapur).

-----Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

-----Respondent

For Applicant(s)	: Mr. Pradeep Sharma Mr. Adarsh Singhal, Amicus Curiae
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. Bharat Vyas, ASG with Ms. Neeti Jain Bhandari & Mr. Harshvardhan Katara Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, GA cum AAG with Mr. Rishi Raj Singh Rathore, PP Mr. Aman Agarwal, PP Mr. Rajeev Sharma, DGP, (through VC) Mr. Sachin Mittal, Commissioner of Police Mr. Manish Agrawal, Add. C. P. Jaipur Mr. Vikas, DIG Cyber Mr. Shantanu SP Cyber

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

Reserved on **28/10/2025**

Pronounced on **11/11/2025**

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 on behalf of accused-applicant. The accused-applicant was arrested in connection with FIR No. 156/2025 registered at Police Station Kundera District Sawai Madhopur for the offence(s) under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) of BNS, 2023 and under Section 66D of IT Act and under Section 13 of RPGO Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant had submitted that the applicant is aged 24 years of age. It was further submitted that the FIR was lodged by police authorities not by victim/complainant and that the applicant is behind the bars since 29.09.2025.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the non-applicants had vehemently opposed the bail application. It was submitted that the present case pertains to an organized form of cyber crime, attracting the provisions of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), the Information Technology Act, and the Rajasthan Public Gambling Ordinance (RPGO), as referred and made applicable. It was further submitted that by forming organized groups and creating multiple "mule" accounts, substantial amounts of money were fraudulently siphoned off. The bank statements have been duly verified and tallied with the complaints registered on the Cyber Crime Portal, revealing that a total sum of ₹13.26 lakhs has been deposited in the bank account of the present applicant. Further, it was contended that the investigation has also brought to light the use of passive and mule accounts, indicating the applicant's active participation in a structured cyber crime operation.

4. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, the material available on record, and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature and gravity of the allegations; that the organized manner in which the offence appears to have been committed, and the financial trail linking the applicant to the siphoned

amount, this Court is of the considered opinion that no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused-applicant.

5. Accordingly, and in light of the general observations recorded in **S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9343/2025**, the ratio passed in **Re: Victims of Digital Arrest Related to forged Documents: [Suo moto writ petition (criminal) No. 3/2025]**, and categoric observations made herein above but without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, this Court is not inclined to allow the bail application. Consequently, the present bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 stands dismissed.

(SAMEER JAIN),J