

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17234/2024

M/s Technocrates And Managers (India) Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Jaipur Development Authority & Anr.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Samdaria, Mr. Lokesh Acharya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. K.K. Sharma, Sr. Adv. assisted by Mr. Madhusudan

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

24/03/2025

1. The issue involved in the instant writ petition, is in respect of allotment of land, measuring 4689 Sq. yards, to respondent No.2, for which petitioners claimed their preferential right, as per Clause 2(iv) of planning norms of a land, indicated in the Township Policy, 2010, which reads as under:-

(iv) The saleable facility area may be allotted to the developer at his request at the prevailing reserve price for residential purposes (incase this is not available the residential reserve price of nearby area may be adopted). The developer shall develop the area for the purpose for which it has been reserved. In the event of developer not willing to take the land, the concerned ULB shall allot the same to others for the purpose for which it was reserved in the scheme.

2. Reference petition filed by petitioners in that aspect, has been dismissed vide order dated 23.09.2024.

3. It has been pointed out by learned counsel for petitioners that respondent No.2 is under process of developing the land in question.

4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for and on behalf of respondent No.2 states that the land was allotted by Jaipur Development Authority (JDA), following the prescribed procedure and the allotment was never challenged by the petitioners.

5. Heard.

6. Issue notice.

On behalf of respondent No.2, learned Senior Counsel has put in appearance on Caveat and accepts notice. Let notice be issued to respondent No.1- Jaipur Development Authority.

7. List the matter after three weeks.

8. In the meanwhile, respondent No.1- JDA shall ensure to maintain status quo in respect of allotment, possession and construction of the land in question, allotted to respondent No.2.

However, after effecting service of notice upon respondent No.1- JDA, respondent No.2 would be at liberty to file application for vacation of this stay order, if so desires or in changed circumstances.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J