

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17947/2019

Gvk Emergency Management And Research Institute (Gvk Emri)
-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan
-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. M.M. Ranjan, Sr. Adv. assisted by Mr. Yashwardhan Tolani
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sankalp Vijay for Mr. Vigyan Shah, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

18/04/2026

1. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner that the present controversy pertains to a contract for the supply and operation of ambulances. It is submitted that the said contract spanned two distinct periods: 2013 to 2016, and from 2016 onwards.
2. It is submitted that the learned Arbitral Tribunal, which was initially seized of the matter, withdrew from adjudicating the dispute pertaining to the period prior to 2016. Consequently, the petitioner raised the said grievance before the designated Settlement Committee in accordance with Clause 18 of the governing tender document.
3. It is further submitted that vide a highly cryptic, three-line impugned order dated 24.08.2018 (Annexure-15), the claim was arbitrarily rejected on the premise that it was *void ab initio*. Learned Senior Counsel vehemently argued that the said

impugned order was not even passed by the competent Settlement Committee. It is further contended that there is no contractual clause that permits the non-consideration of a claim by the Settlement Committee merely on the ground of the conclusion of the contract.

4. It is next submitted that the impugned action is grossly violative of the principles of natural justice. Despite the lapse of approximately six years from the date of the initial reference, no substantive claim has been passed or settled, and the petitioner asserts an entitlement to the claimed amount along with the requisite interest.

5. *Per contra*, at this juncture, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents is unable to refute the aforesaid factual submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Heard the submissions advanced by the learned counsel.

7. The concerned Officer-in-Charge is directed to remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing, along with the entire relevant records pertaining to the instant matter.

8. List the matter on 08.05.2026 in the supplementary cause list.

(SAMEER JAIN),J