

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16107/2024

RC Power Project Limited
-----Petitioner
Versus
State Of Rajasthan and Ors.
-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16119/2024

RC Power Project Limited
-----Petitioner
Versus
State Of Rajasthan and Ors.
-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anuroop Singhi, Mr. Aditya Khandelwal, Mr. Bhavya Kala
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Umang Gupta Mr. Tarang Gupta Mr. Dheeraj Mathur

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

19/11/2024

1. Matters have come up before this Court on application(1/2024) filed by and on behalf of respondents in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.16107/2024 seeking clarification/ modification in the order dated 15.10.2024 passed by this Court. Counsel for respondents submits that though in Civil Writ Petition No.16119/2024 application has not been filed, but the prayer for clarification/ modification in the order dated 15.10.2024 is same, hence, such prayer be considered in that petition as well.
2. It has been pointed out that in Para No.4 of the order dated 15.10.2024, statement of counsel for respondents has been taken

note of that "hearing of petitioner before the settlement committee is sub judice". Learned counsel submits that in view of such statement, this Court in para No.7 has allowed proceedings of hearing before the settlement committee, but in-fact the matter was not placed before the settlement committee rather the hearing to the petitioner was extending by the Chairman and Managing Director (CMD) of JVVNL. Therefore, the clarification/ modification in the order dated 15.10.2024 has been asked that hearing of petitioner before the CMD of JVVNL be allowed to continue.

3. Application has been replied and resisted by the counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the hearing before the CMD of JVVNL may not be misconstrued as hearing before the settlement committee. His further submission is that since, this Court is ceased with the matter, in such circumstances, petitioner may not be directed to appear before the CMD of JVVNL for hearing.

4. Having considered the rival contentions of counsel for both the parties, it is hereby observed that in Para No.7 of the order dated 15.10.2024, instead of "the settlement committee", the words be treated as replaced with "the Chairman and Managing Director, JVVNL". Thus hearing of petitioner before the CMD, JVVNL may be continue. However, such clarification will not prejudice the case of either of the parties to be considered while hearing the writ petitions on merits.

5. It is made clear that such clarification/ modification in the order dated 15.10.2024 be treated as passed in both the writ



[CW-16107/2024]

petitions and this order be treated as part and parcel of order dated 15.10.2024.

6. Accordingly, application(1/2024) stands disposed of.
7. Interim order dated 15.10.2024 shall remain continue.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J

SOURAV /349-350-S