

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16107/2024

RC Power Project Limited

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anuroop Singhi, Mr. Aditya Khandelwal, Ms. Palak Saraswat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Umang Gupta, Mr. Tarang Gupta & Mr. Purusharth Saraf

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

15/10/2024

1. It has been argued by learned counsel for petitioner that pursuant to work-order dated 05.10.2023, petitioner-Firm has established 33/ 11 KV Grid Sub-stations and has invested a huge amount, but in the middle, petitioner has been served a notice dated 07.10.2024, for termination of his contract. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the notice is malicious and has been served with an intent to terminate the contract on arbitrary grounds.
2. Heard.
3. Issue notice.
4. On behalf of respondents, counsel has put in appearance and states that petitioner has an alternative remedy to raise his grievance before the settlement Committee in terms of Clause 29 of the work-order, and it has been stated that hearing of petitioner

before the settlement committee is sub judice. Since, on behalf of respondents, counsel has put in appearance, service be treated as complete.

5. List the matter on 14.11.2024 along with SB Civil Writ Petition No.16119/2024.

6. In the meanwhile, respondents may file reply to the writ petition, including to raise their preliminary objection.

7. Till next date, without staying the proceedings of hearing before the settlement committee, if pending, it is hereby directed that no coercive steps, pursuant to the notice dated 07.10.2024, including for termination of the work-order, be taken against the petitioner.

8. It is hereby observed that after filing of reply, respondents would be at liberty to move an application for vacation of stay order, in changed circumstances.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J

Sachin/25