

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9663/2025
Manraj @ Pintu S/o Late Hanuman

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

Connected With

1. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9343/2025
2. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10063/2025
3. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 11907/2025
4. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12137/2025
5. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12259/2025
6. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12269/2025
7. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12959/2025
8. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13186/2025
9. S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 13705/2025

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Girish Khandelwal Mr. Narendra Prasad Meena Mr. Rahul Sinsinwar Mr. Shyam Bihari Gautam Mr. Sanjay Khan Mr. Pallav Choudhary Mr. Kapil Gupta Mr. Pradeep Sharma with Mr. Abhishek Dadhich Mr. Adarsh Singhal Amicus Curiae
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, GA cum AAG with Ms. Arti Sharma, PP Mr. Biju George Joseph, Commissioner of Police, Jaipur through VC Mr. Shantanu Kumar, SP, Cyber Crime

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

15/10/2025

1. In the present bunch of matters, allegations leveled against the applicants pertain to commission of Cyber crime(s),

wherein they have moved applications seeking anticipatory/regular bail under Section 482 BNSS and Section 483 BNSS, respectively.

2. Upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court has noted that present batch of applications involve following common factual matrix:-

2.1. That all the applicants are aged approximately 18 to 20 years.

2.2. That they have been alleged of committing a cyber crime.

2.3. That complaints are filed by the police authorities and not by the victim(s) or any private person.

2.4. That in few of the matters, charge-sheet has been filed.

2.5. That matters are triable by Magistrate.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants have submitted that FIRs are lodged merely on the basis of suspicion and presumption and assumption, without any justifiable reasons, thereby affecting personal liberty of the applicants. It is further submitted that few accused persons are taken into custody by the police authorities and their bank accounts have been seized.

4. Learned GA cum AAG along with Superintendent of Police, Cyber Crime and *amicus curiae* have marked presence in the Court and have explained the matter conscientiously, whereby, they have enlightened and apprised the Court qua various types of cyber frauds including digital arrests, investment scams, sextortion rackets and fake loan applications.

5. Further, it is submitted that cyber crime has been committed in an organized manner, by remitting the money in majority of the

cases which are linked to international companies situated in various Gulf, African countries. Moreover, the said money is utilized in funding national campaign, terrorism and other activities.

6. Furthermore, it is submitted that mule and rented accounts are used for committing the alleged offence. Additionally, applications like 'Olx' are created. Moreover, mobile phones and SIM are used in an unauthorized manner, qua which victims are also unaware.

7. Subsequently, it is submitted that State is making endeavours to curb the said crime by using preventive, curative & reformatory approach.

8. Further, it is submitted that in order to generate easy money, youth is instigated to participate in unauthorized gaming and other activities. It is submitted that once money is received, the said amount is transferred or remitted by using multiple accounts which are either rented, hacked or used in an ignorant manner.

9. Superintendent of Police and *amicus curiae* have assured to furnish a White paper qua the above aspect, by the next date of hearing.

10 Let all matters be listed before this Court on 17.10.2025 in the supplementary cause-list.

11. It is made clear that all the bail applications will be considered on the next date of hearing.

12. Learned counsel for the applicants are directed to submit brief synopsis.

13. This Court directs Commissioner of Police, Jaipur to reimburse the cost of Rs. 1 Lac qua *amicus curiae*, for the assistance provided by him.

(SAMEER JAIN),J

Pooja/343-352