

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16115/2022

Damodar Rai Sharma S/o Late Shri Dhula Lal Mishra, Aged About 78 Years, R/o Near Malion Ka Mandir, Ward No. 13, Aligarh District Tonk

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government, Medical Health And Family Welfare Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur
2. The Director, Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur
3. Additional Director (Administration), Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur
4. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Tonk

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19163/2019

1. Jagdish Singh Rajput S/o Bhagwan Singh Rajput, Aged About 76 Years, R/o Golada Tehsil Bawa District Dausa.
2. Laxman Lal Sharma S/o Radha Krishna Sharma, Aged About 79 Years, R/o Village Monbas Post Pichupada Via Sikandra Tehsil Baswa District Dausa.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government, Medical Health And Family Welfare Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
3. Additional Director (Administration) Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6038/2022

Smt. Chandra Kanta Sharma W/o Late Shri Ram Prasad Sharma,
Aged About 61 Years, R/o Vpo Chatarpura, Tehsil Bansur, District
Alwar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government, Medical Health And Family Welfare Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
3. Additional Director (Administration), Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4560/2020

Prabhu Dayal Bairwa S/o Shri Ram Lal Bairwa, Aged About 63
Years, R/o Vpo Tilohia, Tehsil Kishangarh District Ajmer

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To The Government, Medical Health And Family Welfare Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur
2. The Director, Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur
3. Additional Director (Administration), Directorate Of Medical Health And Family Welfare Services, Swasthya Bhawan, Tilak Marg, C-Scheme, Jaipur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar Mishra
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Pulkit Bharadwaj for Mr. Vigyan Shah, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

13/11/2025

1. These writ petitions raises a common question and learned counsel for petitioners submits that the issues raised by petitioners is already settled by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in case of **Govind Dan Charan Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors., D.B. Civil Special Appeal (Writ) No.1068/2014**. He further submits that this Hon'ble Court has decided number of writ petitions on the basis of said decision and learned counsel for respondent in their reply has also conceded the fact that the matter is squarely covered by the decision in case of **Govind Dan Charan Vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors.** (supra).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of learned AAG submits that these writ petitions be disposed of in light of judgments as referred by learned counsel for petitioners.
3. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioners are given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case referred hereinabove with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of representation. After passing the order, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioners either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioners have provided E-mail in his representation. If the

petitioners are still aggrieved, they have a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petitions with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J

PREETI VALECHA /263-266