

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT



सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6812/2024

1.

Vikas Garhwal S/o Shri Nemi Chand Jat, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Garhwalo Ki Dhani, Khirod, Nawalgarh, District Jhunjhunu (Raj).
2.

Rahul S/o Shri Jagdish, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Fagodiyon Ki Dhani, Kolida, Police Station Dadiya, District Sikar (Raj).
3.

Sumit S/o Shri Shrichand, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Ward No. 3, Ajitpura, Kudan, Police Station Dadiya, District Sikar (Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.
2.

Bhiwaram S/o Shri Manaram Kumawat, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Khirod , Nawalgarh, District Jhunjhunu (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Sanjay Khedar

For Respondent(s)

:

Mr. JS Rathore, PP with  
Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Asstt.GA  
Mr. Himanshu for  
Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary

**JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND**

**Order**

**22/05/2026**

1.

By way of filing the instant petition, a prayer has made to quash the proceedings arising out of the impugned FIR No.98/2023 registered with the Police Station Nawalgarh, District Jhunjhunu for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 457, 380 and 427 IPC, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after registration of the aforesaid FIR, the complainant-respondent has submitted compromise in favour of the petitioners, wherein he deposed that under mistake, he has lodged impugned FIR against the petitioners and now he does not want to prosecute the petitioners. Counsel submits that the petitioners have prayed for quashing of the proceedings arising out of the impugned FIR, in light of the compromise submitted by the complainant.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer, while counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant-respondent admits the factum of compromise arrived between the parties and submits that the complainant has no objection, in case the proceedings of the impugned FIR are quashed in light of the said compromise between the parties.

4. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on the record.

5. Considering the arguments put forward by the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant-respondent and looking to the fact that the dispute between the parties is of personal in nature and the complainant does not wish to prosecute the petitioners and looking to the contents of the compromise arrived at between the parties and also applying the proposition of law as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the cases of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303** & **State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan & Ors.**, reported in

**(2019) 5 SCC 688**, this Court deems it just and appropriate to invoke inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

6. Accordingly, the instant criminal misc. petition is allowed. The proceedings arising out of the impugned FIR No.98/2023, registered with Police Station Nawalgarh, District Jhunjhunu for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 457, 380 and 427 IPC, stand quashed.

7. The stay application and all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J