

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15924/2025

Hemraj Meena S/o Lt. Shri Kesari Lal Meena, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Shivaji Colony, House No. A-10 Near Pani Ki Tanki, Baran, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary And Comissioner, Panchayatiraj Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Govt. Secretariate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Baran, District Baran.
3. The Additional Director, Pension And Pensioners Kalyan Vibhag, Cad Circle, Rangbari Road Kota, Rajasthan.
4. The Treasure Officer, Baran District Baran, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Aditya Godara for Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

16/10/2025

1. Mr. Aditya Godara for Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG accept notice for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner is directed to serve a copy to Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG for respondents No.1 and 2.
3. Office is directed to reflect the names of Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG and Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG as counsel for respondents. They request for time.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner while relying upon order dated 27.08.2025 in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12830/2025 Rekha Kumari Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** and order dated 28.08.2025 in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.11907/2025 Dr. Bachchu Singh Baisla Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** submits that on superannuation of petitioner on 30.09.2020, a PPO was issued for grant of pension to petitioner, but later by order dated 20.05.2025, the pensionary and retiral benefits were withhold by Zila Parishad, Baran and the Treasury Officer has directed for stopping of pension on 06.08.2025. He submits that without following a process Zila Parishad determined a loss of ₹78681/- to State exchequer and instituted a departmental proceeding, and without a notice, and opportunity of hearing livelihood of present petitioner is stopped by respondents.

5. Having considered submission of learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of material placed on record, it is apparent on record that a PPO is issued on 01.09.2021 after attaining age of superannuation on 30.09.2020 by the petitioner. Later vide order dated 20.05.2025 and 06.08.2025, the pensionary benefits were withhold by the respondents. The order dated 20.05.2025 and 06.08.2025 shall remain stayed till next date of hearing.

6. Learned AAG for respondents is permitted to file an application under Article 226(3) of the Constitution of India.

7. List this matter on 24.11.2025.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J