

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16934/2025

Rajendra Kumar Chaudhary S/o Shyojiram Jaat,

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ritesh Kumawat for
Mr. Dharmesh Jain

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

12/01/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 01.08.2025, passed by the Additional Commissioner and Deputy Secretary, (Inquiry), Ministry of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, vide which Smt. Khelanta Meena, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Tehtada, Panchayat Samiti Bassi, District Jaipur, Rajasthan, who was suspended by order dated 24.06.2025, was reinstated as the Sarpanch of the said Gram Panchayat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was no occasion for the respondents to pass the impugned order dated 01.08.2025, as following the issuance of the suspension order dated 24.06.2025, no such circumstances have arisen so as to justify the passing of the said order. On the contrary, the said Sarpanch has flouted the suspension order, even after her suspension on 24.06.2025 as she has participated in the proceedings and signed orders, one of which has been annexed as

Annexure-20. The said order is dated 01.07.2025, whereby mutation was opened by respondent No.7.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents have not only ignored the said act of the respondent No.7, but have even revoked the suspension without assigning any reason whatsoever.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the enquiry proceedings, as contemplated under Section 38 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 read with Rule 22 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996, are still pending, as has been informed to the petitioner by the respondents under the Right to Information Act, 2005, thus, the passing of the order dated 01.08.2025 was absolutely uncalled for.

5. In view of the above, issue notice to the respondents, returnable within four weeks.

6. Notices be given '*dasti*' to learned counsel for the petitioner. Notices be sent by registered post as well as by ordinary process.

7. In the meanwhile and till the next date, the effect and operation of the order dated 01.08.2025, shall remain stayed.

8. Additionally, learned counsel for the petitioner would be at liberty to serve a copy of the petition in the office of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, learned AAG, who appears for respondent No.1.

9. List on 25.02.2026 after showing name of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, learned AAG, in the causelist.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J