

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14992/2021

Virendra Singh Gurjar S/o Ram Chandra Gurjar, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village- Lohasari, Post- Baneka Barkhera, Tehsil And District Dausa (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Through Its Chairman Cum Managing Director, Transport Bhawan, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. The Secretary, Rajasthan State Sports Council, Sawai Man Singh Stadium, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. The Secretary, Rajasthan State Power Lifting Association, 105, Bapu Bazar, Udaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Pragya Seth

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sandeep Pathak

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

11/02/2026

1. Instant writ petition is preferred by petitioner with following prayer:

"In these circumstances, it is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept this writ petition and

i) That the impugned act of the respondents in verbally rejecting the candidature of the humble petitioner on the post of ATI, may kindly be declared illegal and arbitrary and therefore, same may kindly be quashed and set aside;

ii) By issuing appropriate writ or direction in the nature thereof the respondents may kindly be directed

(a) to verify the certificates submitted by the humble petitioner;

(b) To consider the candidature of the humble petitioner for appointment on the post of ATI under sports quota by promotion with all consequential benefits;

2. Learned counsel for petitioner while referring and relying upon judgment dated 15.12.2025 in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 234/2025** titled as **Sumitra Runla and Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr.** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by this Hon'ble Court, after considering Government policy and other decided cases. She further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.

3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for parties and also material placed on record, the writ petition may be disposed in light of **Sumitra Runla and Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr. (supra)** and cases as referred therein.

4. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to consider and decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **Sumitra Runla and Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr. (supra)**, but in case of deviation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If

the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

5. Needless to say that if the petitioner is found to be outstanding sports person and he is eligible for appointment and then his case be considered for appointment strictly in accordance with merits and policy.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J