

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6372/2025

Kuldeep Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 43 Years,
R/o Uchchain, Presently R/o Neemda Gate, Bharatpur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2. Deepak Kumar S/o Shri Ameer Singh, R/o Bosoli, Police Station Rudawal, District Bharatpur, Presently R/o Subhash Nagar, Police Station Kotwali, Bharatpur (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sogarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP Mr. Anurodh Chaturvedi

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

01/05/2026

1. The instant criminal misc. petition is listed before this Court in pursuance of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Vijay Kumar and Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan** (Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 773/2026, decided on 15.01.2026), wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court observed that in various matters, interim orders have been passed in criminal revision petitions and petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., as a result of which trial relating to serious offences such as murder, rape, dacoity, dowry death, etc., could not proceed and remained stalled/held up due to the passage of considerable time.

2. Directions have been issued to this Court and other High Courts to take up such like matters which are pending since long,

on priority and decide the same expeditiously without deferring the hearings to subsequent dates.

3. By way of filing the instant petition, a challenge has been led to the impugned order dated 23.05.2022, passed by the Court of the Senior Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate (PCPNDT) Cases, Bharatpur, by which the Final Report (Negative) submitted by the Investigating Agency has been rejected and the protest petition submitted by the complainant-respondent has been accepted and cognizance has been taken against the petitioner under Section 302 IPC.

4. Aggrieved by the aforesaid a revision petition was submitted by the petitioner before the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge (Women Atrocity Cases), Bharatpur, however, the same was rejected vide order dated 10.09.2025.

5. Aggrieved by the aforesaid the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

6. At the very outset, learned counsel for the complainant-respondent apprised this Court that after taking cognizance against the accused-petitioner the trial has proceeded and till date statements of 13 witnesses have been taken into record, hence, under these circumstances, the instant petition has become infructuous.

5. Looking to the subsequent circumstances which have occurred during the pendency of the instant petition and more particularly the fact that the trial has already proceeded forthwith and till date statements of 13 witnesses have been taken on record. This Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant petition, granting liberty to the petitioner to take all

available defence and the arguments, at the appropriate stage of the trial before the Trial Court.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Shivam/11