

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6146/2025

Vikram Singh Rathore Son Of Shri Laxman Singh, Resident Of Near Panchayat Bhawan Village Nagelav Jethana, Police Station Pisangan, District Ajmer, At Present Tenant House No. 83, Sumer Nagar, Near Sfs Mansarovar, Police Station Muhana, Jaipur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Hazari Lal Son Of Shri Kallu Lal, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 13 Sachivalaya Vihar, Mansarowar, Police Station Muhana, Jaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr.Om Prakash Pareek with Mr.Avinash Gouttam
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr.Amit Punia, PP Mr.Indrapal Jakhar

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

13/11/2025

1. By way of filing this petition, a prayer has been made for quashing the proceedings arising out of F.I.R. No.511/2024 registered with Police Station Muhana, District Jaipur City (South) under Sections 323, 341 & 379 IPC.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that after investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner for offences under Sections 323, 341 and 34 IPC and Sections 3(2)(va), 3(1)(r) & 3(1)(s) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. Thereafter, the complainant filed an application for compounding of the offences based on a compromise between

the parties and the same has been partly allowed and the offences under Sections 323 and 341 IPC have been compounded, however, the rest of the offences have not been compounded as the same are not compoundable. Counsel submits that appropriate order be passed in the light of the compromise between the parties.

3. Reliance has been placed upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303** & **State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan & Ors.,** reported in **(2019) 5 SCC 688** and the learned counsel stated that the proceedings arising out of the impugned F.I.R. be quashed and set-aside.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has no objection in case, the proceedings pending against the petitioner before the trial Court are quashed, and he accepts the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. Considering the submissions made by counsel for the parties and looking to the fact that after registration of the F.I.R., the parties have settled their dispute by entering into compromise and applying the principles of law as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh** (supra) and **Laxmi Narayan** (supra), this Court deems it just and appropriate to invoke the inherent powers of this Court contained under Section 528 BNSS.

6. In the view of the aforesaid compromise arrived at between the parties and applying the principles of law as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh** (supra) and **Laxmi**

Narayan (supra), this Court deems it just and appropriate to invoke inherent powers of this Court contained under Section 528 BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant criminal misc. petition is allowed. The proceedings arising out of impugned F.I.R. No.511/2024 registered with Police Station Muhana, District Jaipur City (South) against the petitioner stand quashed and set-aside.

8. Stay application and all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J