

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6195/2023

Girvar Singh Son Of Shri Raghuveer Singh, Aged About 32 Years,
Resident Of A-13, Anandam Society, Kalwar Road, Jhotwara,
Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Anju Kanwar Wife Of Shri Baldev Singh, Resident Of A-14,
Anandam Society, Kalwar Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur.
3. Baldev Singh S/o Shri Himmat Singh, Resident Of A-14,
Anandam Society, Kalwar Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vibhanshu Sharma and
Mr. Varun Sharma for
Ms. Anita Agarwal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Narendra Singh Dhakar, PP

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

07/05/2026

1. By way of filing the instant criminal misc. petition, a prayer has been made to quash the impugned FIR No.369/2022 registered with the Police Station Kalwar, District Jaipur (West) for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341 and 34 IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after registration of the aforesaid FIR, charge-sheet was submitted against the petitioner, thereafter charges were framed and statements of respondent No.2 were recorded before the Court of the Metropolitan Magistrate No.15, Jaipur Metropolitan-II, thereafter the complainant-party i.e. the respondent Nos.2 and 3

have entered into a compromise with the petitioner and they have submitted an affidavit in favour of the petitioner stating therein that they do not want to prosecute him and they have no objection in case, the proceedings of the instant case are dropped. Learned counsel submits that an application seeking compounding of the offence has already been submitted before the Trial Court, but no orders have been passed. Hence, interference of this Court is warranted.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer.

4. Considering the arguments put forward by learned counsel for the petitioner and looking to the fact that after recording of the statements of the respondent No.2 i.e. PW-1, the parties have settled their dispute by way of entering into a compromise and an application has been submitted by them before the Trial Court seeking compounding of offences, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant petition by issuing directions to the Trial Court to decide the application submitted by the parties seeking compounding of offence in accordance with law, expeditiously as early as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from today.

5. In case, any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he would be at liberty to assail the aforesaid order before the appropriate forum of law.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the instant criminal misc. petition stands disposed of. Stay application as well as all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J