

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 11825/2025

Kamal Singh S/o Shri Revti Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Gali No. 05, Subhash Nagar, Ghukhna, Gaziabad, Police Station Nandgram, District Gaziabad (Uttar Pradesh) (At Present In Central Jail, Jaipur).

----Applicant

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Avinash Vyas, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rhishi Raj Singh Rathore, PP Mr. Babulal, RPS (SOG)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

10/11/2025

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-applicant. The accused-applicant was arrested in connection with FIR No.098/2024 registered at Police Station SOG, Jaipur for the offence(s) under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is aged approximately 35 years and the sole bread earner of the family. It is submitted that co-accused Bimlendu Kumar Jha has been enlarged on bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 01.09.2025. It is submitted that the applicant's case is on better footing than that of the co-accused. It is also submitted that the applicant is alleged to be involved only in financial transactions. It is further submitted that the matter is

triable by a First Class Magistrate and the applicant has been in custody ever since 07.05.2025. It is submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed and the trial will take a long time.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor along with the Officer of SOG has opposed the bail application. It is submitted that the applicant has three serious criminal antecedents, wherein *challans* have been filed. It is submitted that approximately 46 persons have been deprived of public employment on account of fraudulent certificates and the syndicate/cartel in which the applicant was involved in the matter of public employment and the merit has been totally affected on account of fraudulently created certificates; legitimate candidates have been deprived and the present case is an example of the same. It is submitted that the provisions of the IT Act are applicable.

4. Heard and considered.

5. Considering the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties, the fact that the matter involves with lives of 46 candidates who have been deprived on account of alleged forged certificates, issued by a syndicate i.e. Taekwando Federation of India, in which applicant was one of the co-accused and considering the gravity of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail.

6. The present bail application is accordingly, dismissed.

(SAMEER JAIN),J