

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14607/2019
CNR: RJHC020759842019 | URN: CW / 27557U / 2019

1. Mahendra Singh S/o Shri Amr Singh, Aged About 39 Years, By Caste Rajput, R/o Vpo Jaitpur Khinchi, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur Presently R/o 77-B, Badridham Nindar, Jaipur
2. Bhagwan Sahai Yadav S/o Shri Ram Jeevan Yadav, Aged About 49 Years, By Caste Yadav, R/o Krishnapuri Muhana, Tehsil Sanganer, District Jaipur.
3. Dashrath Singh S/o Shri Moti Singh, Aged About 46 Years, By Caste Rajput, R/o Vpo Bagaara Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary Local Self Government, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Municipal Corporation Lal Kothi Jaipur, Through Commissioner.
3. The Deputy Commissioner (Garrage Branch), Municipal Corporation, Lal Kothi, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amitabh Jatav with Mr. Puneet Prajapat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Choudhary for Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

17/08/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners by way of an additional affidavit filed on 27.04.2026 submits that services of the persons junior to petitioners have been regularized by the respondents and

thus, there is no reason for the respondents to deny the same benefit to the petitioners as they are similarly situated.

2. Learned counsel in support of his submission has placed reliance upon the order dated 11.07.2006 passed in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5105/1999*** titled as ***Krishnakant Nama Vs. State & Anr.*** and the judgment dated 07.04.2006 passed in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5666/1999*** titled as ***Dhanna Lal Meena Vs. Municipal Corporation & Anr.***

3. Learned counsel further submits that in the said orders, directions were issued to the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner therein for regularization in the cadre of Class IV and thus, he seeks issuance of similar directions in the case of the present petitioners as well.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case of the petitioners is distinguishable from the cases relied upon by learned counsel for the petitioners, however, he submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioners, the same would be considered in light of the said judgments, if the facts are similar to those of the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the same and without delving into the merits of the matter, the present writ petition stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioners to submit a representation before the respondents within a period of one month from today along with copies of all the relevant orders and judgments upon which they intend to place reliance and in the event of any such representation being filed by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to duly consider and decide the same within a period of



two months thereafter, by passing a speaking and reasoned order and taking note of the orders and judgments relied upon by them.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

JAYANT KUMAR /31