

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6221/2020

1. Ram Pratap S/o Sh. Rampal Meena, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Vishanpuara Dhani Ashawali, P.s. Harmada, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.
2. Balram S/o Sh. Rampal Meena, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Vishanpuara Dhani Ashawali, P.s. Harmada, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.
3. Siddarth S/o Sh. Rampal Meena, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village Vishanpuara Dhani Ashawali, P.s. Harmada, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.
4. Mansukh S/o Sh. Rampal Meena, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Village Vishanpuara Dhani Ashawali, P.s. Harmada, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.
5. Kajod S/o Sh. Bhairuram, R/o Village Radhapura, P.s. Harmada, Tehsil Amer District Jaipur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Lal Chand S/o Sh. Shivsahai Bagda, R/o Village Bishanpura, P.s. Harmada, Jaipur (West).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP with Mr. Anirudh Singh Mr. Shantanu Gupta

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

29/04/2026

1. By way of filing the instant petition, a challenge has been led to the impugned FIR No.255/2020, registered with Police Station Harmada, District Jaipur(West), for the offences punishable under Section 143, 341, 323, 379 & 308 IPC.

2. It has been averred in the petition that the impugned FIR has been lodged against the petitioners with malafide intentions and for putting pressure on the petitioners, when in fact, looking to the allegations it is clear that the matter pertains to a civil dispute between the parties, to which colour of criminal case has been given. Hence, a prayer has been made for quashing the impugned FIR.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor apprised this Court that after investigation, the offence was found to be *prima facie* proved against the petitioners and that is why charge-sheet has been submitted against them under Sections 323, 341, 427 read with Section 34 IPC, before the Court of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate No.17, Chomun, Jaipur Metropolitan-II on 27.06.2022. Hence, under these circumstances, interference of this Court is not warranted.

4. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on record.

5. Perusal of the contents of the impugned FIR and the allegations levelled therein reveals commission of a cognizable offence and the correctness of the same cannot be examined/adjudicated by this Court while exercising its inherent jurisdiction contained under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Certainly, this task has been assigned to the Investigating Officer who has already investigated the matter from all four corners and found *prima facie* case against the petitioners for the above stated offences and accordingly, charge-sheet has also been submitted against them. Thereafter, the trial has already proceeded against the petitioners. Hence, under these circumstances, this Court deems it

just and proper to dispose of the instant petition, while granting liberty to the petitioners to take all available defences at the appropriate stage of the trial, before the Trial Court.

6. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

7. A copy of the factual report dated 28.04.2026 furnished by the SHO of Police Station Harmada, District Jaipur West is ordered to be retained on record.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Shivam/88