

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13434/2022

Ankit Kumar Chaudhary S/o Chhidda Singh

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vishwajeet Mantri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. P.S. Naruka for Mr. Rupin Kala, G.C.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

20/07/2023

1. Having heard learned counsel for both parties and from perusal of the record, it appears that petitioner filled two Online application forms to participate for appointment on the post of Sub-Inspector/Platoon Commander in Sub-Inspector Combined Competitive Examination-2021 conducted by the RPSC.
2. It came to the notice of the RPSC that the petitioner filled two application forms with different details including by using two different SSO' ID's namely ANKITKUMARC853 Email Id: ANKITKUMARCC853@ GMAIL.COM and JATTANKITO EMAIL ID: JATTANKITO @ GMAIL.COM on two different dates i.e. 10.03.2021 and 05.03.2021 with different mobile numbers and different personal details like different address, state, school information, change in spelling in mothers name to surpass the examination authorities and thus, two admit cards were issued to the petitioner one bearing Roll No.477092 and other bearing roll No.873152 and in pursuance of the same the petitioner appeared and gave the

same papers twice, once on 14.09.2021 at Bhilwara Centre and other on 15.09.2021 at Jaipur Centre.

3. The petitioner was issued a show cause notice dated 17.01.2022, to which the petitioner submitted reply and accepted to submit two Online application forms with his explanation of doing so in *bona fide* interest. However, the petitioner has been penalized vide impugned order dated 01.06.2022 as per Rule 5 (iv) of the RPSC Full Commission decision dated 04.07.2000 (Ann.R3-4/1 &2). Rule 5 (iv) reads as under:-

“(iv) Providing false information in examination form”

4. Leaned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is young student of 24 years and therefore, some laxity in punishment of five years be accorded.

5. The matter requires consideration. **Admit.**

6. Heard on the stay application also.

7. Petitioner has prayed to stay the operation of impugned order dated 01.06.2022 so as to allow him to participate in the fresh recruitment conducted by RPSC for the next coming years.

8. Taking into consideration the conduct of petitioner as detailed out hereinabove, this Court is not inclined to stay the operation of impugned order dated 01.06.2022 at this stage.

9. Accordingly, the stay application is hereby dismissed.

10. However, the petitioner is at liberty to move application for early hearing of the writ petition.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J