

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8062/2016

Neeta Garg  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
State Of Raj And Ors  
-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3660/2011

Neeta Garg  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
State Of Raj And Anr  
-----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8006/2023

Ajmer Development Authority  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
Smt. Neeta W/o Sh. Pradeep Garg  
-----Respondent

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18842/2023

Ajmer Development Authority  
-----Petitioner  
Versus  
Smt. Neeta Garg W/o Sh. Pradeep Garg  
-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                    |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. K.K. Sharma, Sr. Adv. Assisted by<br>Mr. Ashish Kishore Saxena |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Prateek Singh                                                  |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN**

**Order**

**08/10/2025**

1. An application (I.A. No.1/2025) has been filed for recalling/modification of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed by

this Court in SBCWP No.8062/2016 titled as Neeta Garg Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that at the stage of final arguments a reply to the application seeking amendment to writ petition alongwith additional prayers was filed by the applicants/respondents; and have raised strong objections to amendment of writ petition, as the same enhances the plea made in the petition in *toto*. It is further submitted that the Court in its order dated 26.08.2025 recorded that 'reply is filed qua the amended petition' instead of 'reply is filed to the application', inadvertently.

3. Further, it is submitted as per the principles of natural justice, time is ought to be given to oppose and file reply to the said amended writ petition.

4. Learned counsel for the non-applicant has not opposed the contentions of the learned counsel for the applicant.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the order sheet dated 26.08.2025, this Court has noted that the facts narrated in the application appears to be justified. Therefore, this Court deems it apposite to modify the order dated 26.08.2025 as reply has been filed qua the application instated of reply has been filed qua the amended writ petition.

6. Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the memo of the application, same is allowed.

7. Amended writ petition is taken on record.

8. Respondent-non-applicant- Ajmer Development Authority (ADA) and other respondents seeks time to file reply qua the amended writ petition.
9. Time as prayed for, is granted.
10. As per directions passed vide order dated 29.02.2024, the then Hon'ble Chief Justice has assigned the matter along with connected matter(s) to this Bench.
11. List these matters on 12.11.2025 at 2:00 P.M. along with the connected matter.
12. Learned counsel for the parties to submit written submissions in all the matters, if so chooses.
13. Requisites be carried out by the next date of hearing.

(SAMEER JAIN),J