

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 964/2025

1. Chandra Prakash Meena S/o Shri Kamal Chand Meena, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat- Odach, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District-Karauli, Rajasthan. (Sarpanch/administrator- Gram Panchayat-Odach)
2. Ravina Kumari Meena W/o Shri Sanjeev Kumar Meena, R/o Gram Panchayat- Kaachroda, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District Karauli, Rajasthan (Sarpanch - Gram Panchayat - Kaachroda)
3. Rajanti Meena W/o Shri Raghunath Meena, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat- Kalagunda, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District Karauli, Rajasthan (Sarpanch - Gram Panchayat - Kalagunda)
4. Indrabai Meena S/o Shri Ghanshyam, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat- Bookna, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District Karauli, Rajasthan (Sarpanch/administrator - Gram Panchayat - Bookna)
5. Ramkhiladi Meena S/o Shri Ranga Meena, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat- Hadoti, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District Karauli, Rajasthan (Sarpanch - Gram Panchayat - Hadoti)

----Appellants

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Department Of Rural Development, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Project Director And Ex Officio Deputy Secretary (Sap), Rural Development Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti- Sapotara, District- Karauli.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : None.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Divya Rathore, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, Additional Advocate General.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

24/02/2026

1. On 06.11.2025, this Court passed the following order:

“Learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that the bank accounts of the appellant-writ petitioner which were frozen have already been released and in view thereto the relief claimed by the petitioner become totally academic. She submits that the appeal has now rendered infructuous.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the arguing counsel is not present today.

List this case again on 10.11.2025.”

2. Today, none appeared on behalf of the appellant to argue the matter even in the second round.

3. Counsel for the respondent submits that frozen bank account of the writ—petitioner has already been released. Therefore, in view of changed circumstances, the present appeal has become infructuous.

4. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the respondents, in our considered view, this appeal has become infructuous by efflux of time.

5. In that view of the matter, this appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J

(INDERJEET SINGH),J