

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11991/2025

Ramprasad Ahir Son Of Lehru Lal, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Village Devipura, Tehsil Rashmi, Post Rewara, District Chittorgarh (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Raj., Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Lalkothi, Japur (Raj.)
3. Superintendent Of Police, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.)
4. Principal, Shree Ram College Of Teachers Education, 58, Gokulpura, University Road, Ayad, Udaipur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bajrang Sepat fpr Ms. Komal Kumari Giri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Parth Sharma for Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

10/12/2025

1. Instant writ petition is preferred by petitioner with following prayer:
"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that Your Lordships may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this appropriate writ petition by issuing or direction, an the writ, order respondents may kindly be directed to grant/sanction the study leave for 2 years B.Ed. course/internship to the petitioner and in

alternatively leave without pay directed to be sanctioned to the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioner while referring and relying upon **judgment dated 05.11.2024 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.15446/2024 titled as Hemlata Choudhary Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr.** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon’ble Court at Principal Seat, Jodhpur. He further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.
3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and also material placed on record, the writ petition may be disposed in light of **Hemlata Choudhary Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr. (supra)**, if applicable upon the facts of the case.
4. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to consider and decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **Hemlata Choudhary Vs. State of Rajasthan and Anr. (supra)**, but in case of deviation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the order to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his

representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

5. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J