

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil (PIL) Writ Petition No. 13029/2024

1.

Sohan Lal S/o Purana Ram, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Rasoolpur, Post Kayamsar, Tehsil Ramgarh Shekhawati, Dist. Sikar(Raj).
2.

Rajendra S/o Noranglal, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Rasoolpur, Post Kayamsar, Tehsil Ramgarh Shekhawati, District Sikar(Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj).
2.

District Collector, Sikar (Raj).
3.

Sub Divisional Officer, Ramgarh Shekhawati, District Sikar (Raj).
4.

Tehsildar, Ramgarh Shekhawati, District Sikar (Raj).
5.

Patwari, Village Kayamsar, Rasoolpur, Tehsil Ramgarh Shekhawati, District Sikar (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Tanmay Dhand

For Respondent(s)

:

Mr. GS Gill, AAG assisted by
Ms. Shikha Sharma

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UMA SHANKER VYAS

Order

07/01/2025

1.

Though this Court had granted time to the petitioners to implead the persons, who are going to be affected in case any order is passed, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for some more time.
2.

In the circumstances, we are not inclined to grant further time. We have perused the memo dated 27.09.2023 of Sub-

Divisional Officer, Ramgarh Shekhawati, addressed to District Collector, Sikar, informing that though certain encroachments have been found, as more than 500 persons are residing in the area for more than 20 to 25 years, the issue of rehabilitation is required to be considered. The communication further shows that because of the pendency of case before the High Court in the matter of challenge to the regularization schemes, further proceedings are not being taken.

3. The District Collector, Sikar is required to take decision one way or the other in the matter on the basis of communication dated 27.09.2023 of the Sub-Divisional Officer, Ramgarh Shekhawati. Let it be done within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. The order, if any passed, if not acceptable to the petitioners, the same may be challenged.

5. With the aforesaid liberty, the petition is disposed of. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(UMA SHANKER VYAS),J

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA),CJ