

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1595/2026

CNR: RJHC020654042026 | URN: CRLAS / 2802U / 2026

1.

Jaisingh S/o Kanheya, Aged About 24 Years, Resident Of Laxmipura, P.S. Nagarfort, District- Tonk- Raj.(Presently Accused Appellant Is In The Central Jail Tonk)
2.

Rajesh S/o Girraj, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Rangbilas, P.S. Ghad, District Tonk, Raj.(Presently Ac-cused Appellant Is In The Central Jail, Tonk.)
3.

Lokesh S/o Girraj, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Rangbilas, P.S. Ghad, District Tonk, Raj.(Presently Ac-cused Appellant Is In The Central Jail, Tonk.)

----Accused-Appellants

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2.

Narendra @ Virat Suvalka S/o Chhitar Lal, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Ward No.13, Dooni, District- Tonk.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1612/2026

CNR: RJHC020661942026 | URN: CRLAS / 2829U / 2026

Ramdayal Son Of Rampal, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Subedaro Ki Dhani, Nayagaon Gothra, Police Station Dooni, Dis-
trict Tonk (Raj.) (At Present In District Jail Tonk).

----Accused-Appellant

Versus

1.

The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2.

Narendra @ Virat Suwalka Son Of Chhitar Lal, Aged About 25 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 13, Dooni, Police Station Dooni, District Tonk (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Govind Choudhary & Mr. Hemraj Rodiya for Mr. Atul Kumar Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP Mr. Jitendra Choudhary Mr. Rajesh Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA
Order

15/09/2026

1. The instant criminal appeals have been filed under Section 14A(2) SC/ST (Prevention Of Atrocities) Act on behalf of the appellants Jaisingh S/o Kanheya, Rajesh S/o Girraj, Lokesh S/o Girraj and Ramdayal S/o Rampal, who are in custody in connection with FIR No.138/2026, Dated 05.06.2026, registered at Police Station Dooni, District Tonk, for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2), 109(1) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST (Prevention Of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the allegations have been exaggerated and no such injuries were suffered by the persons injured in the alleged incident as occurred on 03.06.2026. Learned counsel further submits that the medical was conducted on 08.06.2026, five days later and no justification is given for the delay. Learned counsel further informs that as per the injury report, five injuries were suffered by the injured Narendra and they all are simple in nature and as far as the injured Vishnu is concerned, he only suffered one injury which is not grievous or dangerous to life. Learned counsel further referred to the document dated 17.06.2026, by which the Doctor has informed that six injuries were suffered by the injured person Narendra out of which one is on the head. They specifically pointed out in the MLR, as prepared on 08.06.2026, no such injury was mentioned and therefore the opinion of the Doctor is seriously doubtful. Learned counsel submits that after the detailed

investigation, police has filed the charge-sheet against them on 02.08.2026 and no recovery is required to be made. Learned counsel further informed that they have no serious criminal antecedents of any nature, except two cases as reported in the impugned bail rejection order.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the appeal and submits that he informed the complainant but no one has appeared on his behalf. He further submits that the injured Vishnu suffered one injury on the head and he was admitted in a private hospital from 04.06.2026 to 06.06.2026 and his injury is stated to be grievous in nature.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the contents of the FIR and detailed charge-sheet as filed in respect of the incident occurred on 03.06.2026.

5. This Court, noted the fact that MLR was prepared on 08.06.2026 in which five injuries have been reported, however, in the conclusion part six injuries have been mentioned. The injuries are described in the MLR are five and the Doctor has mentioned six injuries, whereas in the table, only five injuries have been mentioned. A perusal of five injuries as mentioned in the chart shows that they are not grievous but bruise and abrasion, and later in the opinion, he described them to be grievous in nature. The injuries suffered by Narendra and Vishnu are not grievous or dangerous to life, however, injury No.6 not mentioned in the chart but in the concluding para of the report is stated to be grievous in nature.

6. After considering the overall facts and circumstances and material available on record and noticing the antecedents of the appellants in the bail rejection, without commenting on merits/demerits of the case, this court is of the opinion that the appellants deserves to be enlarged on bail.

7. Consequently, the instant criminal appeals are allowed. The impugned order dated 06.07.2026 passed by the Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention Of Atrocities) Cases, Tonk (Raj.), is set aside. It is ordered that the accused-appellant **Jaisingh S/o Kanheya, Rajesh S/o Girraj, Lokesh S/o Girraj and Ramdayal S/o Rampal** arrested in connection with aforesaid FIR, shall be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case, provided they furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- and two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. It is further directed that in case, the appellants are found to be involved in any case during the pendency of the trial, this order shall stands canceled without further reference to the Court.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J