

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Arbitration Application No. 114/2025

Mrs. Sita Rajesh Varma, W/o Shri Rajesh Shamlal Varma,
Resident Of 57-58, Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, Dcm Ajmer Road,
Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. M/s Srasti Liquor Bottling Llp, (Llpin Aat-9270) Through
Its Designated Partner, Mrs. Reeva Vashishtha, Regd.
Office 604-605, 6Th Floor, Vaibhav Cine Multiplex, Vaishali
Nagar, Jaipur-302021.
2. Shri Arun Vashishtha, S/o Shri Kamal Kishore Sharma,
R/o 22, Amar Nagar (Rfc Colony), Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur.
3. Mrs. Reeva Vashishtha, W/o Shri Aruan Vashishtha, R/o
22, Amar Nagar (Rfc Colony), Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amol Vyas, through VC
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ashutosh Bhatia with Mr. Kamendra Singh Rathore

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Order

08/05/2026

1. Heard.
2. Admittedly, a dispute arose between the parties and an
arbitration clause exists in the original partnership deed entered
into between the parties, as reflected in Clause 45. The same
reads as under:

*"45. All disputes between the partners or between the
Partner and the LLP arising out of the limited liability
partnership agreement which cannot be resolved in terms
of this agreement shall be referred for arbitration as per
the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996
(26 of 1996)."*

3. Subsequently, vide Clause 7 of the supplementary agreement alleged to have been entered into between the parties, the earlier Clause 45 was replaced as under:

"7. Clause 45 of the Initial Agreement is replaced as below:

45. All disputes between the partners or between the Partner and the LLP arising out of the limited liability partnership agreement which cannot be resolved in terms of this agreement shall be referred for arbitration as per the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (26 of 1996)."

4. Upon notices having been issued, the respondents have entered appearance and submit that there are disputes and they have also pointed out that the disputes relate to the issues which have also been raised by the applicant.

5. Considering the nature of the dispute, this Court deems it appropriate to appoint Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mohammad Rafiq (Retd. Chief Justice), R/o-77, Bhagat Vatika, Civil Lines, Jaipur, as the sole Arbitrator.

6. The sole Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act'), as amended. The fee of the Arbitrator will be equally borne by both the parties.

7. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29A of the Act of 1996.

8. The arbitration application is allowed accordingly.

9. Copy of this order be sent to the appointed Arbitrator.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA), ACTING CJ