

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12832/2020

Dharmendra Yadav S/o Shri Niranjana Lal Yadav, Aged About 46 Years, R/o 27- Usq R.p.a. Shastri Nagar, Jaipur At Present Working On The Post Of Si Cid (Int) Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary Department Of Home, Govt. Of Rajasthan.
2. Director Gen. Of Police, Rajasthan Police H.q. Jaipur.
3. Additional Dgp Cid (Int), Rajasthan Jaipur.
4. The Selection Board, Constituted For The Promotion On The Post Of Inspector Cid (Int) For The Year 2019-2020 Jaipur By Adgp Cid (Int) Rajasthan Jaipur Vide Order No. 97-104 Dated 30.05.2020 Through Its Member Secretary Sp Cid (Security) Rajasthan, Jaipur.
5. Shri Shantnu Kumar Singh, Sp Cid (Security) Raj. Jaipur Member Secretary Of The Selection Board.

-----Respondents

---

|                   |                       |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : Mr. Abhimanyu Singh |
| For Respondent(s) | :                     |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

**Order**

**01/02/2021**

After having participated in the selection process and having failed in the examination the petitioner has turned around and challenged the examination process conducted by the respondent alleging that favouritism and nepotism run a galore in the selection process.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the Officers were asked to sit in a place and check answer copies in one day and valuation has been done arbitrarily.

I have considered the submissions.

The petitioner in this writ petition has admitted that for the purpose of maintaining the secrecy of the answer books the non-petitioner applied the method of fixing the chits on the answer book to hide the roll number and name of the candidates.

Thus, the petitioner admits that the examiners who conducted the checking of the answer books, had no knowledge about the candidate whose answer copies is being checked by them. Thus, in the circumstances, allegation of arbitrariness and nepotism is merely a figment of imagination of a failed candidate. Even though he may have failed by half of mark, no indulgence can be given by this Court as competition is immense.

The writ petition is wholly frivolous and is accordingly dismissed.

All pending applications also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),J