

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11374/2026
URN: CW / 25233U / 2026

Girraj Singh S/o Shri Richhpal Singh & Anr.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Nagar Palika Khatu Shyamji & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhishek B. Sharma Mr. Aayush Goyal, Mr. Sumit Sharma for Mr. Tanmay Dhand
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.P. Singh Rajawat for Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

17/07/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed aggrieved of order dated 10.06.2026 (Annexure-9) whereby the petitioners were removed from service. Vide further order dated 25.06.2026 (Annexure-10), the petitioners were debarred from being engaged with any other Municipal Body at Sikar.
2. The case of the petitioners is that they have been terminated without assigning any reason. But then, a specific averment was made on behalf of the respondents that an inquiry was undertaken in the matter wherein the petitioners were found guilty and hence, action was taken against them.
3. In view of the above submission made, Counsel for the respondents was directed to furnish the alleged inquiry report. After two opportunities been granted, today, the relevant documents have been placed on record.

4. As per the said documents, on certain complaints being filed against the petitioners, vide Office Order dated 08.06.2026, an Inquiry Committee comprising of a Junior Engineer and an Inspector, was constituted. The said Committee found the petitioners guilty and on basis of the inquiry report, vide order impugned dated 10.06.2026, the services of the petitioners were terminated.

5. However, the documents reveal that a second Inquiry Committee was constituted in the matter vide Office Order dated 09.07.2026, comprising of a Health Inspector and two Upper Division Clerks.

6. The said Inquiry Committee re-inquired into the matter and concluded that all the allegations as levelled against the petitioners were frivolous and held the petitioners 'not guilty'.

7. After perusing the documents as placed on record today, this Court is of the clear opinion that both the inquiry reports do not record any reason as to why the conclusion as recorded by the Committee was arrived at. Further, it is not evident as to why a second Inquiry Committee was required to be constituted.

8. In view of the above, respondent No.2, Commissioner of the concerned Municipal Corporation, is directed to constitute a fresh Inquiry Committee to inquire into the matter. The said Committee shall be constituted of independent Officer level Members, not essentially to be the employees of the Municipal Corporation.

9. The Committee so constituted shall be under an obligation to record the statements of the aggrieved parties i.e. the alleged persons who installed their *thelas* (pushcart) at the premise in question and have levelled the allegations of illegal demand and

recovery against the petitioners. The statements of all the other relevant persons shall also be recorded and the Committee shall be under an obligation to undertake the inquiry independently and impartially.

10. The report of the Committee shall be submitted before respondent No.2 within a period of three weeks of its constitution. On the said inquiry report being submitted, respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

11. The inquiry report as well as the decision of respondent No.2 be placed on record on the next date.

12. List on 03.09.2026.

(REKHA BORANA),J